


The impact of rotation and turbulence on the standing accretion shock instability



Thierry Foglizzo

CEA Saclay




Outline

- I. Multi-messenger signature of SASI
- II. Adiabatic model of forced oscillator
- III. Rotation effects on SASI clarified
- IV. Viscous/turbulent stabilisation of SASI




Instabilities during the phase of stalled accretion shock



Neutrino-driven convection

(Herant+92)

- entropy gradient
- angular scale $l=5,6$






SASI: Standing Accretion Shock Instability

(Blondin+03)

- advective-acoustic cycle
- oscillatory, large angular scale $l=1,2$

SASI oscillations can leave a **direct** imprint on the gravitational wave and neutrino signals: reverse engineering?



Can gravitational wave and neutrino signatures disentangle so many processes ?

Additional instabilities induced by moderate rotation: uncertain mechanism(s)

-low $T/|W|$ instability?



(Shibata+02, Watts+05, Passamonti & Andersson 15, Takiwaki+21, Bugli+23)

- corotation radius
- vorticity gradient? mid-latitude Rossby waves?


-spiral mode of SASI?

(Blondin & Mezzacappa 07, Yamasaki & Foglizzo 08, Blondin+17, Walk+23)

- rotation-enhanced advective-acoustic cycle?
- why such as strong impact of rotation on the prograde SASI mode?



as expected from an advective-acoustic mechanism




unexpected for an advective-acoustic mechanism??


Dynamics of water in the fountain

Dynamics of the gas in the supernova core


diameter 40cm ← 1 000 000 x bigger → diameter 400km
3s/oscillation ← 100 x faster → 0.03s/oscillation



Expérience hydraulique



Simulation numérique de l'expérience hydraulique



*Simulation numérique de l'onde de choc
dans le coeur de la supernova*

SASI dynamics seems to be adiabatic

Stellar SASI:

- non adiabatic cooling/heating (ν -processes)

$$\mathcal{L} = A_{\text{cool}} \rho^{\beta-\alpha} p^{\alpha}$$

- 4th order differential system

$$\delta w_{\perp} \equiv r(\nabla \times \delta \mathbf{w})_r$$

$$\delta K \equiv r v \delta w_{\perp} + l(l+1) \frac{c^2}{\gamma} \delta S$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \delta f}{\partial r} = \frac{i\omega v}{1-\mathcal{M}^2} \left[\delta h - \frac{\delta f}{c^2} + \left(\gamma - 1 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}^2} \right) \frac{\delta S}{\gamma} \right] \\ \quad + \delta \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\rho v} \right), \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{B1})$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \delta h}{\partial r} = \frac{i\omega}{v(1-\mathcal{M}^2)} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{c^2} \delta f - \mathcal{M}^2 \delta h - \delta S \right) \\ \quad + \frac{i\delta K}{\omega r^2 v}, \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \delta S}{\partial r} = \frac{i\omega}{v} \delta S + \delta \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{p v} \right), \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \delta K}{\partial r} = \frac{i\omega}{v} \delta K + l(l+1) \delta \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\rho v} \right). \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{B4})$$

$$\mu^2 \equiv 1 - \frac{l(l+1)}{\omega^2 r^2} (c^2 - v^2)$$

Adiabatic approximation:


- linear conservation of **entropy** δS and baroclinic **vorticity** $\delta \mathbf{K}$

- 2nd order differential system

$$dX \equiv \frac{v}{1-\mathcal{M}^2} dr$$



$$\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X} + \frac{i\omega}{c^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\omega^2 \mu^2}{v^2 c^2} \right] \delta \mathbf{L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \frac{r \delta \mathbf{w}}{v}$$



perturbed
specific
angular
momentum

$$\delta \mathbf{L} \equiv \mathbf{r} \times \delta \mathbf{v}$$

perturbed
vorticity

$$\delta \mathbf{w} \equiv \nabla \times \delta \mathbf{v}$$


- acoustic oscillator
forced by the advection of vorticity

Comparison of SASI eigenfrequencies with/without a cooling function

$$\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X} + \frac{i\omega}{c^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\omega^2 \mu^2}{v^2 c^2} \right] \delta \mathbf{L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \frac{r \delta \mathbf{w}}{v}$$


fundamental mode

1st, 2nd, 3rd harmonics




→ general trends are captured by the adiabatic approximation

→ the physical mechanism of SASI is approximately adiabatic



Analytical estimate of the SASI growth rate and frequency

state of the art = plane parallel model (Foglizzo 2009)



→analytic approximation (implicit)

$$\mathcal{Q}(Z) \equiv \frac{2b \left(\frac{r_{\text{sh}}}{r_{\text{ns}}} \right)^{2-b} \left\{ 1 + [(Z+2)^2 - b^2] \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\text{sh}}^2}{l(l+1)x_{\text{sh}}^3} \right\}}{[1 - (Z+2-b)N](Z+2+b) - \frac{Z+2-b}{x_{\text{sh}}^{2b}}},$$

$$\mathcal{Q} \left(\frac{i\omega r_{\text{sh}}}{|v_{\text{sh}}|} \right) e^{i\omega \tau_{\text{adv}}^{\text{ns}}} = 1,$$

→ practical use for multi-messenger analysis

$$\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X} + \frac{i\omega}{c^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\omega^2 \mu^2}{v^2 c^2} \right] \delta \mathbf{L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \frac{r \delta \mathbf{w}}{v}$$

Forced oscillator + shock & pns boundary conditions

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\omega^2 - \omega_{\text{Lamb}}^2}{v^2 c^2} \right\} Y_0 = 0 \quad \text{acoustic solution}$$

→integral equation defining the eigenfrequencies

$$a'_1 Y_0^{\text{sh}} + a'_2 r_{\text{sh}} \left(\frac{\partial Y_0}{\partial r} \right)_{\text{sh}} = -\mathcal{M}_{\text{sh}}^2 e^{\int_{\text{sh}}^{\text{ns}} \frac{i\omega}{v} \frac{dr}{1-\mathcal{M}^2}} Y_0^{\text{ns}} - \int_{\text{ns}}^{\text{sh}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(Y_0 e^{\int_{\text{sh}}^{\text{ns}} \frac{i\omega \mathcal{M}^2}{1-\mathcal{M}^2} \frac{dr}{v}} \right) \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\text{sh}}^2}{\mathcal{M}^2} e^{\int_{\text{sh}}^{\text{ns}} \frac{i\omega}{v} dr} dr,$$

with a'_1, a'_2 defined by:

$$a'_1 \equiv (\gamma - 1) \mathcal{M}_{\text{sh}}^2 + \frac{\frac{i\omega r_{\text{sh}}}{v_{\text{sh}}} \frac{v_{\text{sh}}}{v_1}}{\frac{v_1}{v_{\text{sh}}} \frac{1}{2\eta^2} - 2 - \left(1 - \frac{v_{\text{sh}}}{v_1} \right) \frac{i\omega r_{\text{sh}}}{v_{\text{sh}}}},$$

$$a'_2 \equiv \frac{1 - \mathcal{M}_{\text{sh}}^2}{\frac{v_1}{v_{\text{sh}}} \frac{1}{2\eta^2} - 2 - \left(1 - \frac{v_{\text{sh}}}{v_1} \right) \frac{i\omega r_{\text{sh}}}{v_{\text{sh}}}}.$$

→asymptotic approximation $r_{\text{sh}} \gg r_{\text{ns}}$ (explicit)

$$\frac{i\omega r_{\text{sh}}}{|v_{\text{sh}}|} = b - 2 + \frac{2n\pi}{\zeta - d_1} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta^3}\right),$$

$$\mathcal{Q} \left(\frac{i\omega r_{\text{sh}}}{|v_{\text{sh}}|} \right) = \frac{\left(\frac{r_{\text{sh}}}{r_{\text{ns}}} \right)^{2-b}}{1 + \frac{2n\pi d_1}{\zeta - d_1} - \frac{4n^2 \pi^2 d_2}{b(\zeta - d_1)^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta^3}\right)},$$

$$|\mathcal{Q}| = \left(\frac{r_{\text{sh}}}{r_{\text{ns}}} \right)^{2-[1+l(l+1)]^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta^2}\right),$$

$$\zeta \equiv \log \frac{r_{\text{sh}}}{r_{\text{ns}}},$$

$$\omega_i^{(0)} = (2-b) \frac{|v_{\text{sh}}|}{r_{\text{sh}}},$$


$$\omega_i^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{adv}}^{\text{ns}}} \log \left| Q \left(\frac{2n\pi}{\zeta - d_1} + \frac{i\omega_i^{(k-1)} r_{\text{sh}}}{|v_{\text{sh}}|} \right) \right|$$

Physical insight on the impact of rotation on SASI

→adiabatic approximation

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X} + \frac{i\omega'}{c^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\omega'^2 \mu'^2}{v_r^2 c^2} \right\} (r \delta v_\varphi) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left(\frac{r \delta w_\theta}{v_r} \right)$$

$$\omega' \equiv \omega - \frac{mL}{r^2}$$



Modest rotation: differential rotation $\Omega \sim L/r^2$ at **small radius** increases the radial wavelength $\lambda_r \sim 2\pi v / (\omega - mL/r^2)$ of advected perturbations

→increases the match between the acoustic oscillator and the advected forcing = "un-mixing" of the phase

Strong rotation: corotation radius r_{co} where $\omega'=0$

stationary phase approximation

$$\int_{ns}^{sh} \frac{\partial Y_0}{\partial r} \frac{1}{M^2} e^{\int_{sh} \frac{i\omega'}{v_r} dr} \frac{dr}{r_{sh}} \sim e^{i\Psi_{co}} \int_{ns}^{sh} \frac{\partial Y_0}{\partial r} \frac{e^{-\omega_i \tau_{adv}(r)}}{M^2} e^{-i\left(\frac{r-r_{co}}{\Delta r}\right)^2} \frac{dr}{r_{sh}} \\ \sim e^{i\Psi_{co}} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} \left(\frac{\partial Y_0}{\partial r} \right)_{co} \frac{e^{-\omega_i \tau_{adv}^{co}}}{M_{co}^2} \frac{\Delta r}{r_{sh}}$$

→spiral SASI is produced by an advective-acoustic cycle with an **extended** coupling in the **corotation region**


→analytic approximation

$$Q e^{-\omega_i \tau_{adv}^{co}} = 1$$

$$Q \equiv \frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{r_{sh}}{r_{co}} \right)^{2a-b} e^{i\left(\Psi_{co} - \frac{5\pi}{4}\right)}}{\left(\frac{\omega_r r_{sh}}{|v_{sh}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[N \left(\frac{i\omega'_{sh} r_{sh}}{|v_{sh}|} \right) + \frac{2b}{m_l^2} \frac{M_{sh}^2}{x_{sh}^{a+b}} e^{i\omega \tau_{adv}^{sh}} \right]}$$


Why is the prograde mode destabilized by rotation ?

$\lambda_r = 2\pi/k_r$: radial wavelength of advected perturbations $e^{-i\omega t + i(k_r r + m\varphi)}$




At low frequency, the radial scale of the pressure field is large $\sim r_{sh} - r_{ns}$
 Its forcing by advected perturbations is inefficient where $\lambda_r \ll r_{sh} - r_{ns}$


uniform radial velocity v_r



decelerated radial velocity $v_r(r)$



uniform radial velocity v_r
 + horizontal shear $\Omega = L/r^2$



$$\omega' \equiv \omega - \frac{mL}{r^2}$$

$$\lambda_r = \frac{2\pi v}{\omega - m\Omega}$$

$$k_r = \frac{\omega'}{v_r}$$

$$r_{\text{corot}} \equiv \left(\frac{mL}{\omega} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

→ best phase match
 at corotation

→ phase mixing
 at small radius

Turbulent stabilization and rotational destabilization ?

small experiment
laminar regime

$$H_{\Phi} \equiv -\frac{R_{45}^2}{r}$$

$$\lambda \equiv \frac{R'_{45}}{R_{45}} = 6.25$$


$$\text{Re} \equiv \frac{h\nu}{\nu} = \text{Fr} \frac{g^{\frac{1}{2}} h^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\nu}$$

$$6.25^{\frac{3}{2}} \sim 15.6$$

$$Q = 2\pi r\nu h = 2\pi \frac{r}{h} \text{Fr} g^{\frac{1}{2}} h^{\frac{5}{2}}$$

$$6.25^{\frac{5}{2}} \sim 98$$

$R_{45} = 5.6\text{cm}$




without rotation,
turbulent SASI @ 100L/s
is more stable than
laminar SASI @1L/s

350cm

large experiment
turbulent regime


$R'_{45} = 35\text{cm}$



$R_{45} = 5.6\text{cm}$



A small amount
of rotation
is sufficient
to destabilize
the prograde mode




as observed in 3D numerical models
by Blondin & Mezzacappa (2006)

Impact of viscosity ν and thermal diffusivity κ on SASI?

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho v) &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla)v + \nabla \Phi &= -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} + \nu \left[\nabla^2 v + \frac{1}{3} \nabla(\nabla \cdot v) \right] \\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla)S &= \frac{\gamma \kappa}{\gamma - 1} \frac{\nabla^2 c^2}{c^2} + \frac{1}{p} \tau : \nabla v.\end{aligned}$$

$$\tau : \nabla v = 2\nu\rho \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_j v_i + \partial_i v_j) - \frac{1}{3}(\nabla \cdot v)\delta_{ij} \right]^2$$



$$\omega_r^{\text{SASI}} \sim \frac{2\pi|v_{\text{sh}}|}{r_{\text{sh}}} \quad w_i^{\text{SASI}} \sim \frac{|v_{\text{sh}}|}{r_{\text{sh}}}$$

in a plane parallel uniform flow:

$$\omega_i^{\text{visc}} = -\nu k_{\text{adv}}^2 \quad \text{vorticity perturbations can be damped by viscosity}$$

$$\text{with } k_{\text{adv}}^r \sim \frac{\omega_r^{\text{SASI}}}{|v_{\text{sh}}|} \sim \frac{2\pi}{r_{\text{sh}}}$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\partial w_i^{\text{adv}}}{\partial \nu} \sim -\frac{4\pi^2}{r_{\text{sh}}^2} \quad ?$$

$$\omega_i^{\text{diff}} = -\kappa k_{\text{adv}}^2 \quad \text{entropy perturbations can be damped by thermal diffusivity}$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\partial w_i^{\text{adv}}}{\partial \kappa} \sim -\frac{4\pi^2}{r_{\text{sh}}^2} \quad ?$$

$$\omega_i^{\text{ac}} = -\left(\frac{2}{3}\nu + \frac{\gamma-1}{2}\kappa\right) k_{\text{ac}}^2 \quad \text{acoustic perturbations can be damped by both}$$

$$\text{with } k_{\text{ac}} \sim \frac{\omega_r^{\text{SASI}}}{c_{\text{sh}}} \sim \frac{2\pi\mathcal{M}_{\text{sh}}}{r_{\text{sh}}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rightarrow \frac{\partial w_i^{\text{ac}}}{\partial \nu} &\sim -\frac{2}{3} \frac{4\pi^2 \mathcal{M}_{\text{sh}}^2}{r_{\text{sh}}^2} \quad ? \\ \frac{\partial w_i^{\text{ac}}}{\partial \kappa} &\sim -\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \frac{4\pi^2 \mathcal{M}_{\text{sh}}^2}{r_{\text{sh}}^2} \quad ?\end{aligned}$$

Impact of viscosity ν and thermal diffusivity κ on SASI?

perturbative calculation

$$\rightarrow \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial \nu} \sim -\frac{4\pi^2}{r_{\text{sh}}^2}$$

as expected if SASI mechanism is governed by the advection of vorticity perturbations

$$\frac{\delta w_i}{\omega_i} \sim -4\pi^2 \frac{\nu}{r_{\text{sh}} |v_{\text{sh}}|}$$


stabilization by numerical viscosity

$$\nu_{\text{num}} \sim \left(\frac{1 - C_{\text{CFL}}}{2} \right) v \Delta r$$

$$\frac{\delta w_i}{\omega_i} \sim -\frac{4\pi^2}{N_r} \left(\frac{1 - C_{\text{CFL}}}{2} \right) \frac{r_{\text{sh}} - r_{\text{ns}}}{r_{\text{sh}}}$$

$$\frac{\delta w_i}{\omega_i} \sim -26\% \left(\frac{30}{N_{\text{pns}}^{\text{sh}}} \right) \quad (C_{\text{CFL}} \sim 0.4)$$

→ 30 grid points from $r_{\text{pns}}=50\text{km}$ to $r_{\text{sh}}=150\text{km}$ are insufficient in 3D simulations



stabilization by turbulence

$$\frac{v_{\text{turb}}}{v_{\text{sh}}} \sim \frac{\nu_{\text{stab}}}{r_{\text{sh}} |v_{\text{sh}}|} \sim \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sim 3\%$$

→ the "turbulence" invoked for SN explosions
(>30% in Müller & Janka 15, Müller+17)
would stabilize SASI without rotation
(see also Nagakura+19)

Conclusion

The shallow water experiment unexpectedly drew our attention to

- the adiabatic analytical framework to study SASI
- the stabilizing effect of turbulence on SASI

Rotation effects on SASI are clarified using the adiabatic approximation

- forced oscillator sensitive to phase mixing
- prograde vorticity waves sheared by differential rotation

First analytical estimates of SASI growth rate and frequency

Unexpectedly large stabilization of SASI by viscosity (without rotation)

- turbulent velocities $\geq 3\% |v_{\text{sh}}|$ can stabilize SASI
- warning on the damping effect of numerical viscosity

What's next? → reverse engineering of multi-messenger signatures

- include the low-T/W instability + SASI + convection
- complementarity of neutrino and GW signatures for each instability



Outreach movie
YouTube 6mn