

### H.E.S.S. observations of Seyfert–starburst galaxies



A. Chen, T. Holch, V. Lefranc, D. Prokhorov on behalf of the H.E.S.S. collaboration

The 32nd Texas Symposium on Relativistic Astrophysics 15. December 2023



**Composite Seyfert-starburst galaxies** 

**Observations, data analyses, and results** 

**Discussion** 

Conclusion



H.E.S.S. Collaboration . Composite galaxies observed with H.E.S.S. . 32nd Texas Symposium . 15.12.2023 2/35





### **Composite Seyfert-starburst** galaxies

#### Seyfert vs starburst galaxies

#### Seyfert galaxies

- Subclass of AGN
- Found in spiral galaxies
- Viewing angle with respect to dusty torus
  - Obscured -> Seyfert 2
  - Unobscured -> Seyfert 1
- Jet/lobes can produce γ-ray emission
- Some detected by Fermi-LAT, none in VHE

#### Starburst galaxies

- Ongoing star formation
- Found in spiral galaxies
- Starburst phase lasts few hundred million years
- γ-ray emission from core-collapse supernovae & SNRs
- e.g. NGC 253 and M82 detected at VHE



#### **Composite Seyfert-starburst galaxies**

- The three nearest Seyfert galaxies (NGC 1068, Circinus, and NGC 4945) are also starburst galaxies
- All three show not only evidence of jet/lobe activity and star formation, but also circumnuclear superwind outflows which can accelerate charged particles
- Similar to scenarios for past activity creating Milky Way Fermi bubbles
- All three detected in GeV
  - Circinus and NGC 4945 similar to NGC 253
  - NGC 1068 one order of magnitude higher



#### **NGC 1068**

- Face-on, early type, barred spiral galaxy
- Brightest Seyfert 2 galaxy (Seyfert 1 nucleus visible in polarized light)
- $M_{\rm BH} \sim 1.5 imes 10^7 M_{\odot}$
- Distance uncertain (10.1 16.7 Mpc)
- Circumnuclear disk, 200 pc + starburst ring, 2 kpc
- Reflection component from Compton thick layer in X-rays
- GeV luminosity one order of magnitude higher than NGC 253





#### **Circinus galaxy**

- Gas-rich spiral galaxy, distance  $4.2 \pm 0.7$  Mpc
- 4° below the Galactic plane
- Seyfert 2 nucleus
- Circumnuclear gas/dust rings 80 and 430 pc
  - hosting immense starburst region
- Bipolar radio lobes inflated by kpc-scale outflows
- One-sided [O III] ionization cone
- Ionized filaments extending radially out to 1 kpc



#### Circinus galaxy



#### NGC 4945

- Barred spiral galaxy, nearly edge-on, distance 3.8  $\pm$  0.3 Mpc
- Located in Centaurus constellation
- Highly obscured Seyfert 2 nucleus,  $M_{
  m BH} \sim 10^6 M_{\odot}$
- Variable hard X-ray emission on hours timescale
- Very bright > 20 keV, only visible through reflected emission < 10keV due to large column density (log[N<sub>H</sub>/cm<sup>-2</sup>] ~ 24.7)
- Circumnuclear starburst disc 200 pc diameter
- Double conical ionized [N II] outflow







# Observations, data analyses, and results

#### H.E.S.S. Analysis

- Model analysis semi analytical air shower model
  - Better angular resolution and sensitivity compared to Hillas parameter-based
- Combined3 Standard cut configuration
- Monoscopic vs steroscopic chosen depending on reconstructed direction uncertainty for events where both possible
- 0°.12 on-source radius (corresponding to point-like source)
- Reflected region background method with multiple off-source regions
- 95% confidence level, spectral index  $\Gamma = 2.4$  assumed
- Cross-check with gammapy analysis



## H.E.S.S. Observations of NGC 1068

- 431 good quality runs taken in wobble mode
  - 310 with 4 12-m telescopes
  - 69 with between 1 and 3 telescopes (most only 12-m)
  - 23 with only the 28-m
  - 29 with 5 telescopes
- 279 (65%) prior to MAGIC observations
- Zenith angles range from 23° to 38°, mean zenith angle 26°
- Most taken with offset 0°.7
- Total exposure 168.5 -> Acceptance-corrected exposure 144.3 hours

Year	Start	End
	(mm/dd)	(mm/dd)
2004	10/09	10/17
2006	10/13	10/23
2008	08/27	09/08
2011	08/26	12/19
2012	10/13	12/11
2013	10/02	10/28
2014	08/23	10/16
2015	09/21	11/16
2016	07/05	10/29



#### **Results: NGC 1068**

- 6077  $\gamma$ -ray like events on-source
  - 77660  $\gamma$ -ray like events off-source
  - on-source/off-source exposure ratio  $\alpha = 0.0762$
- $\gamma$ -ray excess = 157 counts above background -> 2.0 $\sigma$  (no detection)
- Upper limit of  $F(> 323 \,\text{GeV}) < 3 \times 10^{-13} \,\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (95% confidence)



#### **Results: NGC 1068**

- H.E.S.S. upper limits comparable to MAGIC ULs
- Models A and B show γ-ray flux based on the IceCube ν flux for a p p(p γ) neutrino source and further reduced by a factor of 4000 (8000) and 150 (300) due to absorption, respectively.
- Energy range for model B : IceCube scaled up 2x; for model A, extended to lower energies.
- Model A more tightly constrained



Flux upper limits obtained from H.E.S.S. observations shown along with those obtained from MAGIC. Models show the absorbed  $\gamma$ -ray flux



#### **H.E.S.S. Observations of Circinus**

- March 1-4 2014; 26 good quality runs in wobble mode
  - 5 with 3 12-m, 6 with 4 telescopes including 28-m, 15 with 5 telescopes
- Zenith angle  $42^{\circ}.1 44^{\circ}.6$
- Offset angle 0°.5
- In addition, archival 12-m observations of nearby sources included
  - 12 in May 2006 of PSR J1537-6429
  - 6 in March 2007 of PWN HESS J1356-645
- Total exposure 18.4 hr -> acceptance-corrected 13.2 hr



#### **Results: Circinus**

- b = −3°.80 → Galactic diffuse γ-ray background subdominant component of particle background
- Exclusion region around HESS J1356-645
- 213 γ-ray-like events on-source, 2923 off-source
- $\alpha = 0.06075 \rightarrow 35.4 \text{ excess}$ counts  $\rightarrow 2.5\sigma$  (no detection)



Flux upper limits obtained from H.E.S.S. observations of the Circinus galaxy. A model involving gamma-ray emitting lobes is shown for different values of a spectral break



#### H.E.S.S. Observations of NGC 4945

- 2012/3/17 2012/4/28, 2013/3/8, and 2015/2/15 2015/4/21
- 104 good quality runs in wobble mode
  - 8 with 3 12-m
  - 32 with 4 12-m
  - 60 with only 28-m
- Zenith angle  $26^{\circ}.3 33^{\circ}.4$
- Offset 0°.5 for 12-m, 0°.7 for 28-m observations
- Total exposure 42.7 hr, acceptance-corrected 37.2 hr
- 92% of 12-m and 63% of 28-m runs taken during Swift-BAT 15-50 keV high state (>  $1.71 \times 10^{-3}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>)



#### **Results: NGC 4945**

- 622 γ-ray-like events on-source, 7460 off-source
- $\alpha = 0.0821 \rightarrow 55.8 \text{ excess}$ events  $\rightarrow 0.4\sigma$  (no detection)
- $F(> 320 \,\text{GeV}) < 5.8 \times 10^{-13} \,\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (95% confidence)



Flux upper limits obtained from H.E.S.S. observations of NGC 4945 with 12-meter telescopes. Calorimetric bound from by Wang & Fields; Models 1 and 2 from Wojaczyński & Niedźwiecki and Xiang et al.







#### **Comparison with GeV emission**

- Circinus and NGC 4945 are at similar distances to NGC 253 and M82, starburst galaxies detected by both Fermi-LAT and H.E.S.S.
- NGC 1068 is  $\sim$  4 times more distant but significantly higher GeV luminosity
- NGC 1068 and NGC 4945: 4FGL-DR3 (Fermi-LAT 12-year) fluxes compatible with Lenain et al. (2010)
- Circinus: 4FGL-DR3 consistent with Ebrahim (2021) and Guo et al. (2019) but 2.5x lower than Hayashida et al. (2013)
- Extrapolation of Fermi-LAT spectra to H.E.S.S. energy range yields expected statistical significances of:
  - NGC 1068: 2.2 to 4.8  $\sigma$
  - Circinus: 1.0 to 3.6  $\sigma$
  - NGC 4945: 2.0 to 3.7 σ
- Lack of detection by H.E.S.S. consistent with extrapolation of Fermi-LAT spectra



#### **Calorimeter model**

- Requires energy injected in CR protons by SNe be lost to inelastic hadronic collisions before CR escape from starburst galaxies
- Neutral pion decay dominant  $\gamma$ -ray production mechanism
- Describes well NGC 253 and M82  $\gamma$ -ray emission
- Wang & Fields found that GeV emission from NGC 1068 and NGC 4945 consistent with calorimetry assuming sufficiently high SN rates, but Circinus inconsistent
- Using W&F model, proton spectral index 2.2, H.E.S.S. limits imply 58% and 70% calorimetric efficiencies for NGC 1068 and NGC 4945 (assuming CR acceleration energy per SN of 3 × 10<sup>50</sup> erg), higher than M82 (35%) and NGC 253 (39%), leaving room for contribution from other processes viable explanation
- For Circinus, H.E.S.S. upper limits imply calorimetric efficiency upper limit above calorimetric bound (i.e. > 100%) due to low infrared luminosity and predicted VHE flux.



#### $\gamma\text{-ray}$ emission due to AGN outflows

- Spectral curvature and/or variability
- TeV flux can be higher or lower than extrapolated GeV spectra
- According to Lenain et al. (2010) EIC model, VHE emisssion detectable by H.E.S.S. only if maximum electron energy  $\gamma_{max} > 5 \times 10^6$
- For NGC 1068, characteristic frequency of a soft (external) photon field 1 × 10<sup>14</sup> Hz → upscattering to TeV in Klein-Nishina regime and therefore suppressed wrt calorimeter model
- Adding additional hard ( $\Gamma \simeq 2.0$ ) component to account for CR protons accelerated by AGN-driven shocks can exceed TeV flux produced by calorimeter model (Lamastra et al. 2016)
  - However, requires CR acceleration efficiencies larger than commonly assumed for SNR shocks
  - Constraints from Acciari et al. (2019) show that AGN outflow model fails to reproduce broadband γ-ray spectrum from NGC 1068.



#### Jet-driven bubbles: NGC 1068 and the Circinus galaxy

- Structures similar to Fermi bubbles
- kpc-scale lobes present in NGC 1068 and Circinus
- Kataoka et al. (2013) model + ISRF in addition to CMB
- Using radio band flux densities, for Circinus:  $\sim 3.5 \mu G$  while for NGC1068: NE lobe  $87 \mu G$ , SW lobe  $44 \mu G$
- Broken power law electron spectra, index 2.2 below and 3.2 above  $\gamma_{\text{brk}}$
- Maximum electron Lorentz factor 10<sup>8</sup>
- Break energy only free parameter
- Comparing to H.E.S.S. limits, break energy must be lower than  $\gamma_{\rm brk} \simeq 4 \times 10^6$  in NGC 1068 and Circinus, compared to  $10^6$  for Fermi bubbles from Kataoka et al. (2013)
- Therefore less constrained than Fermi bubbles, possibly detectable by further VHE observations
- Hadronic bubble emission can also contribute, which would further constrain electron energy break



#### **Temporal characteristics of NGC 4945**

- VHE flux variability can be caused by SNe or AGN jets
- Wojaczyński & Niedźwiecki (2017) reported evidence for
  - Anti-correlation between daily hard X-ray fluxes from Swift and  $\gamma$ -ray flux from Fermi-LAT
  - Correlation between hard X-ray flux and  $\gamma\text{-ray}$  spectral hardness ( $\Delta\Gamma=0.36\pm0.11)$
  - Indication of AGN contribution to the HE  $\gamma\text{-ray}$  emission
  - Extrapolation of subdominant hard component to TeV energies would be substantial
- ~ 80% of H.E.S.S. exposure during strong hard X-ray flux state  $\rightarrow$   $F(> 1 \text{ TeV}) = (4.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-13} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$
- Suggestive of cutoff during strong hard X-ray state



#### **Spectral characteristics of NGC 4945**

- Two-state behavior can lead to spectral hardening of HE  $\gamma$ -ray spectrum under assumption of broken power-law spectrum
- Low-energy part dominated by soft γ-ray component produced during weak hard X-ray state, high-energy part dominated by hard γ-ray component produced during strong hard X-ray state.
- Broken power law can also result from two different spectral components e.g. Centaurus A
- Xiang et al. (2021): spectral hardening in Fermi-LAT 11 year spectrum
- With two hadronic components, high-E component has very hard proton power law spectral index of  $\alpha = 1.12^{+0.13}_{-0.19}$ , harder than NLDSA  $\alpha = 1.5$
- H.E.S.S. differential flux UL at 0.84 TeV is  $E^2 dN/dE < 4.7 \times 10^{-13} \,\text{ergcm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  which is less than the Xiang et al. two-zone model  $E^2 dN/dE = 7.4^{+1.0}_{-3.4} \times 10^{-13} \,\text{ergcm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$
- Sufficient to constrain, but to fully test, flux UL must be lowered by a factor of two.



#### Multi-messenger channels: Astrophysical neutrinos

- pp or  $p\gamma$  interactions produce both charged pions which decay into muons and neutrinos and neutral pions which decay into  $\gamma$  rays.
- The neutrino channel can be used to constrain the VHE  $\gamma$  ray production and absorption

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{N}_{i}}{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{E}_{i}} = \boldsymbol{k}_{i} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{E}_{i}}{\mathrm{TeV}}\right)^{-\Gamma}, \quad i = \{\nu_{\mu} + \tilde{\nu}_{\mu}, \gamma\}$$

where  $k_{\nu_{\mu}+\tilde{\nu}_{\mu}} \approx (0.71 - 0.16\Gamma)k_{\gamma}$  for *pp* interactions and  $k_{\nu_{\mu}+\tilde{\nu}_{\mu}} \approx 2^{-\Gamma}k_{\gamma}$  for *p* $\gamma$  interactions

- Neutrinos interact extremely weakly and travel to Earth without attenuation, while  $\gamma$  rays can be heavily absorbed by photon fields within the source.



#### IceCube neutrino excess and NGC 1068

- Refined analysis of IceCube data from 2008/4/6 to 2018/7/10 showed an excess of 79<sup>+22</sup><sub>-20</sub> neutrinos associated with NGC 1068 at a significance of 4.2*o*
- Reported averaged best-fit at  $E_{\nu} = 1$  TeV is  $\Phi_{\nu_{\mu}+\tilde{\nu}_{\mu}}^{1 \text{TeV}} = (5.0 \pm 1.5_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.6_{\text{sys}}) \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ TeV}^{-1}$  with power law index  $\Gamma = 3.2^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$
- Too high to be explained by starburst scenarios
- Given H.E.S.S. integral flux UL above 0.33 TeV of  $I_{\gamma} = 3 \times 10^{-13} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ , the optical depth for internal  $\gamma \gamma$  absorption is  $\gtrsim 4000$  for *pp* neutrino sources and  $\gtrsim 8000$  for *p* $\gamma$  neutrino sources



#### Multi-messenger channels: UHECR channel

- Observed correlation between starburst galaxies and UHECR arrival directions as seen by Pierre Auger Observatory (PAO), suggesting CRs accelerated to >  $3.9 \times 10^{19} \, \text{eV}$
- Favored model with 9.7% of UHECR flux above  $3.9 \times 10^{19}$  eV from nearby starburst galaxies (remaining 90.3% isotropic)
- UHECR hotspot in Centaurus A/M83 group which contains NGC 4945
- UHECR excess close to south Galactic pole -> contributions from NGC 253 and NGC 1068
- Assuming CR power-law with spectral index s harder than 2.7 below > 3.9 × 10<sup>19</sup> eV, CR luminosities above proton energy 10 GeV compared with those by SNRs - strongly depends on spectral index



#### Auger excesses and NGC 4945 + NGC 253

- For NGC 4945 and NGC 253, corresponding to 39% and 36% of possible UHECR flux from SBGs, CR power comparable with CR power produced by SNRs if s = 2.2 and > 10× the CR power produced by SNRs if s is 2.4 or softer.
- Therefore, calorimetry limits from H.E.S.S. and Fermi-LAT observations of NGC 4945 and NGC 253 are violated if CR-UHECR sources have soft spectra  $s \gtrsim 2.2$
- Potential CR-UHECR sources in Seyfert/starbursts include starburst superwinds, hypernova remnants, and kpc-scale jets.







Conclusion

#### Summary

- Composite Seyfert/starburst galaxies NGC 1068, Circinus, and NGC4945 were observed in VHE  $\gamma$  rays by H.E.S.S.
- Only flux upper limits obtained, constraining
  - fraction of SN explosion KE converted into CR energy in NGC 1068 and NGC 4945
  - electron populations inside the kpc-scale bubbles in NGC 1068 and Circinus
  - temporal and spectral properties of NGC 4945 previously suggested from Fermi-LAT observations
  - propagation of VHE γ rays produced via hadronic interactions and expected to accompany neutrinos seen with IceCube, for a SMBH surrounded by gas or photons in NGC 1068, and
  - spectral hardness of hypothetical CR-UHECR sources in NGC 4945 producing both CRs interacting with gas in NGC 4945 and UHECRs observed on Earth.



#### Summary

- These ULs are among the most stringent constraints to date.
- In conclusion, VHE  $\gamma$ -ray observations of composite Seyfert/starburst galaxies probe a broad range of phenomena
- CTA will be able to reach comparable sensitivities in one tenth the exposure time, with the possibility of detecting differential fluxes at 1 TeV greater than  $1 \times 10^{-13}$  ergcm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-2</sup>.







# Thanks for your attention!







#### IceCube neutrino excess and NGC 1068

Optical depth for a  $\gamma$  ray of energy *E* in a source of luminosity  $L_{\epsilon b}$ , at energy  $\epsilon_b = 1 (E/1 \text{TeV})$  eV and size, *R*, can be written in the form

$$au(E) \sim 10^8 \left(rac{L_{
m eta b}}{L_{
m Edd}}
ight) \left(rac{R_{
m S}}{R}
ight) \left(rac{E}{1{
m TeV}}
ight),$$

where  $R_{\rm S}$  is the Schwarzschild radius and  $L_{\rm Edd}$  is the Eddington luminosity.

- Based on the above optical depth constraint, the upper limit of the source size,  $R < 1700 R_{\rm S}$  (or  $R < 2 \times 10^{-3}$  pc) for *pp* neutrino sources and  $R < 850 R_{\rm S}$  (or  $R < 10^{-3}$  pc) for  $p\gamma$  neutrino sources, is obtained from this equation for a black hole mass of  $10^7 M_{\odot}$  and infrared luminosity of the NGC 1068 AGN core of  $8.6 \times 10^{43}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>.
- Conservative constraint (IR luminosity could be attributed to larger region)
- However, if the ν spectrum has a low-E cutoff due to threshold photomeson production in pγ interactions, the UL on the source size
   Could be about 25x less constraining (see Model B)



#### **Transition to heavier nuclei**

- Transition to heavier compositions at  $E > 10^{19}$ eV due to Peters cycle
- If UHECRs at these energies mostly carbon nuclei, Cp rather than pp should be used, extrapolated to lower energies
- For s = 2.2, resulting  $\gamma$ -ray luminosity smaller by a factor of  $\simeq 2$ , and  $\gamma$ -ray fluxes remain below H.E.S.S. ULs
- Thus, the spectral index of CR-UHECR proton sources must be harder than 2.2 or the CR-UHECR sources must be dominated by heavier nuclei, for example, CNO nuclei.

