

# Top Decay at Next-to-Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order in QCD

陈龙 (Long Chen)

山东大学物理学院 (Shandong University)

第九届中国 LHC 物理年会 (CLHCP2023)

2023 年 11 月 16-20 日, 上海

Based on: [2309.01937 and work in progress]

In collaboration with Xiang Chen, Xin Guan and Yan-Qing Ma



# Why Study the Top Quark

- Heaviest fundamental particle in SM

$$m_t = 172.69 \pm 0.30 \text{ GeV}$$

- Precision test of SM mechanism, and prods for possible BSM physics

- Decay exclusively to  $b + W$  before hadronization:


$$\Gamma_t = 1.4 \text{ GeV} \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$$

- Convergence of the perturbative QCD series (e.g. renormalon issue)

## Standard Model of Elementary Particles

	three generations of matter (fermions)			interactions / force carriers (bosons)	
	I	II	III		
mass	$\approx 2.2 \text{ MeV}/c^2$	$\approx 1.28 \text{ GeV}/c^2$	$\approx 173.1 \text{ GeV}/c^2$	0	$\approx 124.97 \text{ GeV}/c^2$
charge	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	0	0
spin	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0
	<b>u</b> up	<b>c</b> charm	<b>t</b> top	<b>g</b> gluon	<b>H</b> higgs
	<b>d</b> down	<b>s</b> strange	<b>b</b> bottom	<b><math>\gamma</math></b> photon	
	<b>e</b> electron	<b><math>\mu</math></b> muon	<b><math>\tau</math></b> tau	<b>Z</b> Z boson	
	<b><math>\nu_e</math></b> electron neutrino	<b><math>\nu_\mu</math></b> muon neutrino	<b><math>\nu_\tau</math></b> tau neutrino	<b>W</b> W boson	

QUARKS (purple)  
 LEPTONS (green)  
 GAUGE BOSONS VECTOR BOSONS (red)  
 SCALAR BOSONS (yellow)



# Top Quark Mass $m_t$ and Decay Width $\Gamma_t$

- PDG average for  $m_t$ :


$172.69 \pm 0.30 \text{ GeV}$

- Current best measurement for  $\Gamma_t$ :

$1.36 \pm 0.02(\text{stat.})^{+0.14}_{-0.11}(\text{syst.}) \text{ GeV.}$


- Experimental uncertainties anticipated at future colliders:

$20 \sim 26 \text{ MeV}$



# The W-helicities in Top Decay

$W$  from  $t \rightarrow b + W^+ + X_{\text{QCD}}$  is polarized even if the  $t$ -quark is unpolarized



- The current best measurements:  $f_0 = 0.684 \pm 0.005$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.014$  (syst.),  
 $f_L = 0.318 \pm 0.003$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.008$  (syst.) and  $f_R = -0.002 \pm 0.002$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.014$  (syst.).
- Notoriously difficult to be predicted theoretically to high precision

# Much Theoretical Work Done So Far

Given the key role played by the top-quark both in SM precision test and searching for BSM, there have been vast amount of works done in literature regarding  $t \rightarrow b + W^+ + X_{\text{QCD}}$ .

## ● The inclusive $\Gamma_t$

- ▶ Up to NNLO in QCD: [Jezabek etc 88; Czarnecki etc 90; Li etc 90; Czarnecki etc 98; Chetyrkin etc 99; Fischer etc 01; Blokland etc 04'05;.....; Czarnecki etc 10; Meng etc 22; Chen etc 22]

@NNLO in QCD: [LC, Chen, Guan, Ma 23; Chen, Li, Li, Wang, Wang, Wu 23]

[→ See also talk by Y.F. Wang]

[Datta, Rana, Ravindran, Sarkar 23 (only virtuals)]

- ▶ NLO Electroweak: [Denner Sack 91; Eilam, Mendel Migneron Soni 91]

## ● W-helicities $f_{L,R,0}$

- ▶ @NNLO in QCD: [Czarnecki, Korner, Piclum 10; Gao, Li, Zhu 12; Brucherseifer, Caola, Melnikov 13; Czarnecki, Groote Korner, Piclum 18]

@NNLO in QCD: [LC, Chen, Guan, Ma 23]

- ▶ NLO Electroweak: [Do, Groote, Korner, Mauser 02]

## ● Differential results

- ▶ QCD:

@NLO [Fischer, Groote, Korner, Mauser 01; Brandenburg, Si, Uwer 02; Bernreuther, Gonzalez, Mellei 14; Kniehl, Nejad 21]


@NNLO [Gao, Li, Zhu 12; Brucherseifer, Caola, Melnikov 13; Campbell, Neumann, Sullivan 20]

@NNLO in QCD: [LC, Chen, Guan, Ma 23]

# Cut Diagrams for Top Decay Width

$\Gamma_t$  in terms of the **semi-inclusive**  $\mathcal{W}_{tb}^{\mu\nu}$

$$\Gamma_t = \frac{1}{2m_t} \int \frac{d^{d-1}k}{(2\pi)^{d-1}2E} \mathcal{W}_{tb}^{\mu\nu} \sum_{\lambda}^{L,R,0} \varepsilon_{\mu}^*(k, \lambda) \varepsilon_{\nu}(k, \lambda),$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{tb}^{\mu\nu}(p, k) = & W_1 g^{\mu\nu} + W_2 p^{\mu} p^{\nu} + W_3 k^{\mu} k^{\nu} \\ & + W_4 (p^{\mu} k^{\nu} + k^{\mu} p^{\nu}) + W_5 i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_{\rho} k_{\sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

**Selection Criteria:** the **cut diagrams** of  $t$ -quark self-energy function with exactly one (cut)  **$W$  propagator** interacting with the *external*  $t$ -quark plus (up to 3) QCD loops

# Loop and Phase-space Integration

- Loop integrals are reduced using IBP [Chetyrkin 81] relations done with Blade [Guan, Liu, Ma 20], and the resulting *masters* are calculated using DE method [Kotikov 90; Remiddi 97] with AMFlow [Liu, Ma 22]
- The phase-space integrals, except for *W-momentum*  $k$ , are treated in the same manner as loop integrals by means of the reverse unitarity [Anastasiou, Melnikov 02]
- The **IR-divergent** phase-space integration of  $\mathcal{W}_{tb}^{\mu\nu}$  over  $k$  are done “*manually*” using its power-log series representation (PSE) with  $\epsilon$  assigned with **non-zero** numbers.
- ▶ **Level of Complexity:**  
 $7 \times 10^4$  integrals reduced to **2988 master integrals**, for which PSE about 200 orders in  $k_0$  are derived with the above method.
- ▶ **Consistency Check:**  
A perfect agreement in the result for the **inclusive**  $\Gamma_t$  with  $\frac{d^{d-1}k}{(2\pi)^{d-1}2E}$  calculated in this way and by directly applying the reverse unitarity [Anastasiou, Melnikov 02]

## Results for the Inclusive $\Gamma_t$

The QCD effects on  $\Gamma_t$  in SM can be parameterized as

$$\Gamma_t = \Gamma_0 \left[ \mathbf{c}_0 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \mathbf{c}_1 + \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^2 \mathbf{c}_2 + \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^3 \mathbf{c}_3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4) \right],$$

$$\text{with } \Gamma_0 \equiv \frac{G_F m_W^2 m_t |V_{tb}|^2}{12\sqrt{2}}.$$

We choose  $\mu = m_t/2$ , motivated by the **kinetic energy**  $m_t - m_W - m_b$  of the QCD radiations, at which our N3LO result reads: [LC, Chen, Guan, Ma 23]

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_t &= 1.48642 - 0.140877 - 0.023306 - 0.007240 \text{ GeV} \\ &= \mathbf{1.31500} \text{ GeV} \end{aligned}$$

SM Inputs:


$$\begin{aligned} G_F &= 1.166379 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}, \quad m_t = 172.69 \text{ GeV}, \\ m_W &= 80.377 \text{ GeV}, \quad \alpha_s(m_t/2) \approx 0.1189. \end{aligned}$$

The **leading-color** part of  $\Gamma_t$  agrees with a parallel computation [Chen, Li, Li, Wang, Wang, Wu 23]



# The QCD Scale Uncertainty of $\Gamma_t$

The scale dependence of the fixed-order results for  $\Gamma_t$  in  $\mu/m_t \in [0.1, 1]$



- **NNLO** scale-variation **never** cover the **NNLO** result at any scales less than  $\mu/m_t = 0.6$ .
- **Pure  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$**  correction decreases  $\Gamma_t$  by  $\sim 0.8\%$  of the **NNLO** result at  $\mu = m_t$  roughly 10 MeV(exceeding NNLO scale-hand)

## The Offshell $W$ and Finite $m_b$ Effects

- With  $\frac{1}{k^2 - m_W^2 + i\epsilon} \rightarrow \frac{1}{k^2 - m_W^2 + im_W\Gamma_W}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_t(m_W) &\rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}_t = \int_0^{m_t^2} \frac{dk^2}{2\pi} \frac{2m_W\Gamma_W}{(k^2 - m_W^2)^2 + (m_W\Gamma_W)^2} \Gamma_t(m_W^2 \rightarrow k^2) \\ &= \tilde{\Gamma}_0 \left[ \tilde{c}_0 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \mathbf{c}_1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \mathbf{c}_2 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^3 \mathbf{c}_3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4) \right],\end{aligned}$$

we find:  $\frac{\tilde{c}_i - c_i}{c_i}$  takes  $-1.54\%$ ,  $-1.53\%$ ,  $-1.39\%$ ,  $-1.23\%$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .

- Similarly, keeping  $m_b = 4.78$  GeV, we find:  $\frac{c_1^{m_b} - c_1}{c_1} \approx \frac{c_2^{m_b} - c_2}{c_2} \approx -1.47\%$ .
- The NLO electroweak K-factor is re-evaluated to be  $K_{EW}^{NLO} = 1.0168$ .

Taking these misc-effects into account, we finally obtain the **to-date most-precise high-precision theoretical prediction**:

$$\Gamma_t = 1.3148_{-0.005}^{+0.003} \times |V_{tb}|^2 + 0.027 (m_t - 172.69) \text{ GeV}$$

the error of which meets the request by future colliders.

## Results for W-helicity Fractions

Top decay width with **polarized W**:

$$\Gamma_\lambda = \frac{1}{2m_t} \int \frac{d^{d-1}k}{(2\pi)^{d-1}2E} \mathcal{W}_{tb}^{\mu\nu} \varepsilon_\mu^*(k, \lambda) \varepsilon_\nu(k, \lambda)$$

The **W-helicity fractions**  $f_\lambda^{[n]} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \Gamma_\lambda^{[n]}}{\sum_{i=0}^n \Gamma_t^{[n]}}$  truncated to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$  in massless QCD:

$$\begin{aligned} f_0^{[3]} &= 0.697706 - 0.008401 - 0.001954 - 0.000613, \\ &= 0.686737, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_L^{[3]} &= 0.302294 + 0.007254 + 0.001799 + 0.000586, \\ &= 0.311933, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_R^{[3]} &= 0. + 0.001147 + 0.000155 + 0.000027, \\ &= 0.001330, \end{aligned}$$


(The above results evaluated at  $\mu = m_t$  agree with [\[Czarnecki, Korner, Piclum 10\]](#) up to NNLO)

With NLO EW-correction and  $m_b$  effects included, our **final results** read:

$$\boxed{f_0^{[3]} = 0.686_{-0.003}^{+0.002}, \quad f_L^{[3]} = 0.312_{-0.002}^{+0.001}, \quad f_R^{[3]} = 0.00157_{-0.00002}^{+0.00002}.}$$


## Results for $\cos \theta^*$ Angular Distribution

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_t} \frac{d\Gamma_t}{d\cos\theta^*} = \frac{3}{4}(\sin^2 \theta^*) f_0 + \frac{3}{8}(1 - \cos \theta^*)^2 f_L + \frac{3}{8}(1 + \cos \theta^*)^2 f_R$$



where  $\theta^*$  is the angle between the charged-lepton momentum from the  $W$ -decay in  $W$ -rest frame and the  $W$ -momentum in  $t$ -rest frame.


# Results for W-energy Distribution



- ▶ **In the bulk:** QCD corrections are **positive and quite sizable**: pure  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$  correction modifies the lowest order by 7 ~ 14% for  $E \in [94, 104]$  GeV.
- ▶ **In the rightmost 1 GeV-bin:** QCD corrections up to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$  **decrease** the Born-level result.

## Bonus: lepton-pair invariant-mass spectrum in B-decay

$$\Gamma(b \rightarrow ul\bar{\nu}_l) = \Gamma_0 \left( 1 - 2.4131 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) - 21.27 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^2 - 270.7 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^3 \right).$$



- ▶ The **leading-color** inclusive part agrees with a parallel computation [Chen, Li, Li, Wang, Wang, Wu 23].
- ▶ The  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$  corrections agree well with a recent approximation [Fael, Uskovitsch 23].

## Summary and Outlook

- ☑ We have provided the **to-date most-precise high-precision theoretical prediction** for top-quark decay width:

$$\Gamma_t = 1.3148^{+0.003}_{-0.005} \times |V_{tb}|^2 + 0.027 (m_t - 172.69) \text{ GeV}$$

the error of which meets the request by future colliders.

- ☑ By a novel approach to complete IR-divergent phase-space integration over  $W$ -momentum, we determined, in addition,  $W$ -helicity fractions,  $\cos\theta^*$  distribution and  $W$ -energy distribution at  $\alpha_s^3$  for the first time.
- ☑ Furthermore, the lepton invariant-mass distribution in the B-meson decay  $b \rightarrow ul\bar{\nu}_l$  is derived up to  $\alpha_s^3$ .
- ☑ The approach can be readily applied to the decay of polarized  $t$ -quarks at  $\alpha_s^3$ , as well as the mixed QCD-electroweak corrections.

## Summary and Outlook

- ☑ We have provided the **to-date most-precise high-precision theoretical prediction** for top-quark decay width:

$$\Gamma_t = 1.3148_{-0.005}^{+0.003} \times |V_{tb}|^2 + 0.027 (m_t - 172.69) \text{ GeV}$$

the error of which meets the request by future colliders.

- ☑ By a novel approach to complete IR-divergent phase-space integration over  $W$ -momentum, we determined, in addition,  $W$ -helicity fractions,  $\cos \theta^*$  distribution and  $W$ -energy distribution at  $\alpha_s^3$  for the first time.
- ☑ Furthermore, the lepton invariant-mass distribution in the B-meson decay  $b \rightarrow ul\bar{\nu}_l$  is derived up to  $\alpha_s^3$ .
- ☒ The approach can be readily applied to the decay of polarized  $t$ -quarks at  $\alpha_s^3$ , as well as the mixed QCD-electroweak corrections.

谢谢 (Thank you)!