b-hadron FCNC decays at LHCb

CLHCP 2023

Yixiong Zhou (UCAS)

on behalf of the LHCb Collaboration



November 15, 2023



1 Introduction

2 Overview of FCNC anomalies

3 Recent progress

- Measurement of $d\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda(1520)\mu^+\mu^-)/dq^2$
- Amplitude analysis of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\gamma$

4 Summary

- FCNC ($b \rightarrow s \ell^+ \ell^-$) heavily suppressed in the SM
- New heavy particles can significantly contribute and affect decay rates, angular distributions, and rate asymmetries





- Test LFU using ratios of branching fraction $R_X = \frac{B(b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-)}{B(b \rightarrow se^+e^-)}$
- Status late 2022 showed an intriguing pattern of tension to SM
- R_X ratio extremely well predicted in SM, any departure from unity is a clear sign of New Physics
- Now: agreement to SM driven by latest LHCb measurement





- Optimised variables where form factors cancel at leading order : $P_5' = \frac{s_5}{\sqrt{F_L(1-F_L)}} \text{ [JHEP, 05 (2013) 137]}$
- Local tension of 2.5 σ and 2.9 σ in bins of [4.0,6.0] and [6.0,8.0] GeV/c² for $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ [PRL 125 (2020) 011802]
 - New unbinned analysis result reduce the tension to 1.8 σ
- Similar trend in $B^0 \to K^{*+} \mu^+ \mu^-$ [PRL 126 (2021) 161802]
- Update using the full LHCb Run 1+2 data sample ongoing



$b ightarrow s \mu^+ \mu^-$ differential decay rates

<u>thcp</u>

- Data consistently below SM predictions (particularly at low q²)
- Tensions at 1–3 level, SM predictions exhibit sizeable hadronic uncertainties $\sigma_{th} \sim O(20 30\%)$ (form factors, charm-loop)
- Anomaly or a common issue with hadronic contributions from SM ?



 $\Lambda^0_b \rightarrow p K^- \ell^+ \ell^-$



- $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\mu^+\mu^-$ first observed at LHCb with Run1 data (3 fb⁻¹) [JHEP06(2017)108]
- LFU test with $\Lambda_b^0 \to pK^-\ell^+\ell^-$ decays at low q^2 ([0.1-6.0] GeV²/c⁴) with Run1 and 2016 data (4.7 fb⁻¹) [JHEP 05 (2020) 040]
- Rich resonance spectrum in the pK⁻ system, but the A(1520) stands out due to its narrow width





- Measure the $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda(1520)\mu^+\mu^-)$ in bins of q^2 with full Run1+Run2 data [9 fb⁻¹]
- Normalization of the branching fraction is done through the corresponding J/ $\!\psi$ decay mode





- Fit to the $m(pK^-\mu^+\mu^-)$ distribution in regions of q^2 outside the J/ ψ and $\psi(2S)$ resonances
- Clear signal peak in all regions
- Using sPlot method to get the signal-only m(pK⁻) distribution





- Consider *A*(1405), *A*(1520), *A*(1600), *A*(1800) resonances
- Relativistic Breit-Wigner lineshapes used
- Mass resolution so good that it only matters for $\Lambda(1520)$
- Uncertainty in resonance parameters and interference treated as systematics





- Clear A(1520) peak in all regions
- Not isolated as the K^* in $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$
- Still promising for angular analysis



Measurement of $d{\cal B}(\Lambda^0_b o \Lambda(1520)\mu^+\mu^-)/dq^2$ [PRL 131 (2023), 151801]



- Branching fraction measurement dominated by statistical uncertainty
- Comparison to the theoretical predictions is made in all q^2 regions
- Results are consistent with SM at high *q*², large difference between predictions at low and middle *q*², indicating that consolidation on the theory side is required to be conclusive



© Yixiong Zhou (UCAS)

Amplitude analysis of $arLambda_b^0 o p \mathcal{K}^- \gamma_{ ext{[LHCb-PAPER-2023-036 in preparation]}}$



- Obtained 50K signal candidates from the full LHCb data [9 fb⁻¹]
- Fit to the m(pK⁻γ) distribution to disentangle the signal and the backgrounds using the sPlot method
- Fit was done separately for Run 1 and 2 due to the different trigger configurations



Amplitude analysis of $\Lambda^0_b o p {\cal K}^-\gamma_{ ext{[LHCb-PAPER-2023-036 in preparation]}}$



- Best model containing all the well-established Λ states with $L \leq 3$ plus a non-resonant component with $J^P=3/2^-$
- Dominant contributions from $\Lambda(1800)$, $\Lambda(1600)$, $\Lambda(1890)$ and $\Lambda(1520)$
- Uncertainty entirely dominant by external inputs (mass and width of the Λ resonance)







- Presented recent results for rare beauty baryon decays
 - First measurement of $d{\cal B}(\Lambda^0_b o \Lambda(1520) \mu^+ \mu^-)/dq^2$
 - First amplitude analysis of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p K^- \gamma$
- Current measurement limited by external inputs (still experimental)
- Theoretical effort is necessary to converge on the understanding of A resonances such as in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\mu^+\mu^-$
- Complementary angular analysis is on the way

Thanks!

Backups





• Model-independent description in effective field theory

$$\mathcal{H}(b
ightarrow s\ell\ell) = -rac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}}V_{tb}V_{ts}^*\sum_{i=1}^{10}C_i(\mu)O_i(\mu)$$

• With Wilson coefficient C_i and local operator





- Extrapolate the LQCD from high q^2 to low q^2
- Apply dispersive bounds for form factor constraints
- The optical theorem gives a shared bound for all the $b \rightarrow s$ processes (more measurement more constraint)
- Tension reduction in $B^+\to K^+\mu^+\mu^-$, persists in $B^0\to K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ and $B^0_s\to \phi\mu^+\mu^-$





- Agrees well for $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \mu^+ \mu^-$
- Undershoot the data for $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda(1520)\mu^+\mu^-$

