

# QUANTUM CALCULATION OF AXION-PHOTON TRANSITION IN ELECTROMAGNETODYNAMICS FOR CAVITY HALOSCOPE

Tong Li<sup>1</sup> and **Rui-Jia Zhang**<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

keywords: quantum electromagnetodynamics (QEMD) /axion/ cavity haloscope

///arXiv:2305.01344

### Introduction

The Witten effect [1] implies the presence of electric charge of magnetic monopole and possible relationship between axion and dyon, which can be reliably built based on the quantum electromagnetodynamics (QEMD) [2-3]. In QEMD theory, the photon is described by two four-potentials  $A_{\mu}$  and  $B_{\mu}$ , and the gauge group of QEMD also becomes  $U(1)_E \times U(1)_M$ .

Recently, based on the QEMD framework, Ref. [4] constructed a more generic axion-photon Lagrangian in the low-energy axion effective field theory (EFT), which gives anomalous axion-photon interactions and couplings assuming the existence of heavy PQ-charged fermions with electric and magnetic charges:

#### CP-conserving

### Model: axion-QEMD

In terms of classical electromagnetic fields, the above axion-photon Lagrangian becomes:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4} (g_{aAA} - g_{aBB}) \ a \ F_{\mu\nu} F^{d \ \mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} g_{aAB} \ a \ F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = (g_{aAA} - g_{aBB}) \ a \ \vec{H} \cdot \vec{E} + g_{aAB} \ a \ (\vec{H}^2 - \vec{E}^2) \ .$$

Taking care of the above anomalies, one can calculate the coupling coefficients as

$$g_{aAA} = \frac{Ee^2}{4\pi^2 v_{\rm PO}} , \ g_{aBB} = \frac{Mg_0^2}{4\pi^2 v_{\rm PO}} , \ g_{aAB} = \frac{Deg_0}{4\pi^2 v_{\rm PO}}$$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4}g_{aAA} a \operatorname{tr}[(\partial \wedge A)(\partial \wedge A)^{d}] - \frac{1}{4}g_{aBB} a \operatorname{tr}[(\partial \wedge B)(\partial \wedge B)^{d}] -\frac{1}{2}g_{aAB} a \operatorname{tr}[(\partial \wedge A)(\partial \wedge B)^{d}] \cdot \qquad \mathbf{CP-violating} g_{aBB} \gg |g_{aAB}| \gg g_{aAA}$$

#### Generalized symmetry realization

In fact, the above generic axion couplings can naturally arise when an axion-Maxwell theory couples to a  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  topological QFT (TQFT) [5] and one can introduce two two-form background gauge fields of higher-form symmetries in a more general way, i.e.  $A_\mu$  and  $B_\mu$  as those in Zwanziger theory.

### Methods

### QUANTUM CALCULATION OF AXION-PHOTON TRANSITION IN QEMD

#### A. Magnetic background

Suppose an external magnetic field  $H_0$  along the z-direction, the axion-photon interaction can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{a\gamma\gamma} = (g_{aAA} - g_{aBB})a\vec{E}\cdot\vec{H_0} + g_{aAB}a\vec{H}\cdot\vec{H_0}$$

The Hamiltonian for the above interaction is

$$H_{I} = -\int d^{3}x \mathcal{L}_{a\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\sqrt{2\rho_{a}}}{m_{a}} H_{0} \cos(\omega_{a}t)$$
$$\times \left[ (g_{aBB} - g_{aAA}) \int d^{3}x \hat{z} \cdot \vec{E} - g_{aAB} \int d^{3}x \hat{z} \cdot \vec{H} \right]$$

### Quantization

QUANTIZATION OF ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD IN QEMD

In QEMD, the magnetic field and electric field can be given by using the curl of two vector potentials  $\vec{A}$  and  $\vec{B}$ , respectively.

$$\vec{H} = \nabla \times \vec{A}, \ \vec{E} = -\nabla \times \vec{B}$$

The vector potentials  $\vec{A}$  and  $\vec{B}$  can be expanded in terms of creation and annihilation operators as well as the mode functions  $\boldsymbol{u}_k(\boldsymbol{x})$  satisfying the boundary condition inside the cavity.

$$\vec{A}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k V}} (a_k \mathbf{u}_k^{(A)}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\omega_k t} + a_k^{\dagger} \mathbf{u}_k^{(A)*}(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\omega_k t}) ,$$
  
$$\vec{B}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k V}} (a_k \mathbf{u}_k^{(B)}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\omega_k t} + a_k^{\dagger} \mathbf{u}_k^{(B)*}(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\omega_k t}) ,$$

It means under an external magnetic field, only the coupling  $(g_{aBB}-g_{aAA}) \approx g_{aBB}$  can be measured through TM mode. This approach is exactly the same as that measuring the conventional axion coupling  $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$  in axion electrodynamics. For illustration, we show the transition rate  $R_{TM}$  in terms of practical units as

$$\begin{split} R_{\rm TM} &\approx 3.63 \; {\rm Hz} \left( \frac{\rho_a}{7.1 \times 10^{-25} \; {\rm g/cm^3}} \right) \left( \frac{10^{-5} \; {\rm eV}}{m_a} \right)^2 \left( \frac{H_0}{10 \; {\rm mT}} \right)^2 \\ & \cdot \left( \frac{V}{0.001 \; {\rm m^3}} \right) \left( \frac{Q}{10^5} \right) \left( \frac{g_{aBB}}{10^{-12} \; {\rm GeV^{-1}}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{C_{\omega_a}^E}{1} \right) \,. \end{split}$$

#### **B. Electric background**

We find that replacing  $H_0$  with  $E_0$  is associated with exchanging the couplings constrained by TE and TM modes. Now, the sensitivity to  $g_{aAB}$  is still given by the transverse magnetic wave which couples to axion.

$$\begin{split} R_{\rm TM} &= 0.41 \ {\rm Hz} \left( \frac{\rho_a}{7.1 \times 10^{-25} \ {\rm g/cm^3}} \right) \left( \frac{10^{-5} \ {\rm eV}}{m_a} \right)^2 \left( \frac{E_0}{10^3 \ {\rm kV/m}} \right)^2 \\ &\cdot \left( \frac{V}{0.001 \ {\rm m}^3} \right) \left( \frac{Q}{10^5} \right) \left( \frac{g_{aAB}}{10^{-12} \ {\rm GeV^{-1}}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{C_{\omega_a}^E}{1} \right) \,. \end{split}$$

One can then calculate the  $|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle$  photon transition matrix element and the transition probability  $P \approx |\langle 1| \int_0^t dt H_I |0\rangle|^2$  inside the cavity with an external magnetic field  $\vec{H}_0$  [6]. Up to the first order, we define three form factors that characterize the coupling stre-ngth of cavity mode k to axions:

$$C_{k}^{E} = \frac{|\int d^{3}x \hat{z} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{k}^{E}|^{2}}{V \int d^{3}x |\mathbf{u}_{k}^{E}|^{2}}, \ C_{k}^{H} = \frac{|\int d^{3}x \hat{z} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{k}^{H}|^{2}}{V \int d^{3}x |\mathbf{u}_{k}^{H}|^{2}}, \ C_{k}^{EH} = \frac{\operatorname{\mathbf{Re}}\left[\int d^{3}x \hat{z} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{k}^{E} \int d^{3}x \hat{z} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{k}^{H*}\right]}{V \sqrt{\int d^{3}x |\mathbf{u}_{k}^{E}|^{2} \int d^{3}x |\mathbf{u}_{k}^{H}|^{2}}}$$

Assuming the transition emission process of a single photon is expected to take a long time t, the transition rate in the cavity can be obtained as

$$R_{\rm TE} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\rho_a}{m_a^2} H_0^2 V Q g_{aAB}^2 C_{\omega_a}^H, \text{ with } C^E = C^{EH} = 0,$$
  

$$R_{\rm TM} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\rho_a}{m_a^2} H_0^2 V Q (g_{aBB} - g_{aAA})^2 C_{\omega_a}^E, \text{ with } C^H = C^{EH} = 0$$

Based on different types of cavity mode, the transition rate R can then be simplified. For the TM modes, only when m = p = 0, the integrals are non-zero, thus we only consider  $TM_{010}$  mode. For the TE modes, one can verify that in a cylindrical cavity TE mode has no coupling with axion, i.e.  $C^H = 0$ , and  $R_{TE}$  is zero.



This figure shows the distribution of  $TM_{010}$  and  $TE_{011}$ , the field strength  $H_{011}$  decreases to zero at the red circle and instead increases outside the circle, resulting in the cancellation of the

Although the exact forms of mode functions are unknown, their curl in a cavity can be given by

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_k^{(A)} = \omega_k \mathbf{u}_k^H, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{u}_k^{(B)} = \omega_k \mathbf{u}_k^E,$$

where  $\boldsymbol{u}_k^H$  and  $\boldsymbol{u}_k^E$  are the actual electromagnetic field modes inside the cavity with the normalization  $\frac{1}{v} \int d^3x \left| \boldsymbol{u}_k^{E,H} \right|^2 = 1$ .

## **Cavity Modes**

#### TRANSVERSE WAVE MODES IN CAVITY

The dominant modes existing in a cylindrical cavity include TE modes (transverse electric modes with  $E_z = 0$ ,  $H_z \neq 0$ ) and TM modes (transverse magnetic modes with  $H_z = 0$ ,  $E_z \neq 0$ ). The distribution of the electromagnetic field must satisfy Helmholtz equation as well as the corresponding boundary conditions.

 $\nabla^2 u(r,\phi,z) + k^2 u(r,\phi,z) = 0, \ \vec{E}(r,\phi,z) \text{ or } \vec{H}(r,\phi,z) = \hat{z}u(r,\phi,z)$ 

The solutions of the above differential equations yield a series of possible electromagnetic resonant modes inside the cavity:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{TE}_{mnp}: \ \hat{z} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{k}^{H}(r,\phi,z) &= H_{z}(r,\phi,z)_{mnp} = H_{mnp}J_{m}(k_{\rho}r) \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \cos m\phi \\ \sin m\phi \end{array} \right\} \sin \left( \frac{p\pi z}{L} \right) \\ \mathrm{TM}_{mnp}: \ \hat{z} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{k}^{E}(r,\phi,z) &= E_{z}(r,\phi,z)_{mnp} = E_{mnp}J_{m}(k_{\rho}r) \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \cos m\phi \\ \sin m\phi \end{array} \right\} \cos \left( \frac{p\pi z}{L} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_{mnp}$  and  $E_{mnp}$  are dimensionless coefficients to ensure

## Result

### SENSITIVITY OF RESONANT CAVITY TO AXION-PHOTON COUPLINGS IN QEMD

In an external magnetic field, the signal power is given by

$$P_{\text{signal}} = m_a R_{\text{TM}} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\rho_a}{m_a} H_0^2 V Q g_{aBB}^2 C_{010}^E$$

where the cavity volume V is regarded as a function of  $m_a$ , i.e.  $V = \pi a^2 L = \pi L (x_{0,1}/m_a)^2$ , to ensure that  $m_a$  corresponding to each sensitivity is always at the resonant point. Similarly, for external electric field, it can be obtained by making a replacement  $g_{aBB}H_0 \rightarrow g_{aAB}E_0$ .

To estimate the sensitivity of cavity experiment to  $g_{aBB}$ or  $g_{aAB}$ , we take  $Q = 10^5$  and limit the SNR to 5.





the mode normalization.

ma	
----	--

#### Conclusion

In this work, we provide a complete quantum calculation of axion-single photon transition rate inside a homogeneous electromagnetic field in terms of the new axion interaction Hamiltonian in QEMD. It clearly implies the enhancement of conversion rate through resonant cavity in axion haloscope experiments.

We provide the basic method for the generic cavity search of new axion-photon couplings in QEMD: an external magnetic field H<sub>0</sub> can be set to measure (g<sub>aBB</sub>-g<sub>aAA</sub>) ≈ g<sub>aBB</sub> coupling or an external electric field E<sub>0</sub> to measure g<sub>aAB</sub> coupling.
 The corresponding sensitivity bounds are given by the cavity experimental configuration and the form factor C<sup>E</sup><sub>010</sub> in TM mode for the QEMD axion couplings.



[1]. E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B 86, 283 (1979).
[2]. D. Zwanziger, Phys. Rev. 176, 1489 (1968).
[3]. J. S. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 144, 1087 (1966).
[4]. A. V. Sokolov and A. Ringwald, (2022), arXiv:2205.02605 [hep-ph].
[5]. T. D. Brennan, S. Hong, and L.-T. Wang, (2023), arXiv:2302.00777 [hep-ph].
[6]. Q. Yang, Y. Gao, and Z. Peng, (2022), arXiv:2201.08291 [hep-ph].