



Spin correlation effects in heavy flavor physics

Ruilin Zhu(朱瑞林) Nanjing Normal University

Chen-Liu-Zhao-Zhong-Zhu-Zou:2412.13455 Chen-Geng-Jin-Yan-Zhu:2404.06221;2310.03425

@Tsung-Dao Lee Institute

2024.12.26

Early history of spin

100 anniversaries in 2025

Back to 1922, Stern-Gerlach experiment, to detect OAM

In January 1925, Kronig first had an idea of spin, Pauli saying "it is indeed very clever but of course has nothing to do with reality". Pauli proposed four quantum numbers (n,l,m,s) In September1925, Uhlenbeck and Goudsmit proposed electron intrinsic "Spin" concept



Sonderdruck aus Die Naturwissenschaften. 13. Jahrg., Heft 47 (Verlag von Julius Springer, Berlin W 9)

Ersetzung der Hypothese vom unmechanischen Zwang durch eine Forderung bezüglich des inneren Verhaltens jedes einzelnen Elektrons.

§ 1. Bekanntlich kann man die Struktur und das magnetische Verhalten der Spektren eingehend beschreiben mit Hilfe des LANDéschen Vektormodelles R, K, J und m^1). Hierin bezeichnet R das Impulsmöment des Atomrestes – d. h. des Atoms ohne das Leuchtelektron – K das Impulsmöment des Leuchtelektrons, J ihre Resultante und m die Projektion von J auf die Richtung eines äußeren Magnetfeldes, alle in den gebräuchlichen Quantebeinen ausgedrückt. Man muß dann in diesem Modell annehmen:

a) daß für den Atomrest das Verhältnis des magne-

In a one-page Letter to the Editor of Naturwissenschaften dated 17 October 1925, Samuel A. Goudsmit and I proposed the idea that each electron rotates with an angular momentum $\hbar/2$ and carries, besides its charge e, a magnetic moment equal to one Bohr magneton, $e\hbar/2mc$. (Here, as usual, \hbar is the modified Planck constant, m the mass of the electron and c the speed of light.) Sam, in his accom-

Complete set of quantum numbers for electron in the atom

$$\Psi_{nlmsm_s}(\mathbf{r}) = R_{nl}(r)Y_{lm}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\phi})\chi_{s,m_s}$$
$$\chi_{1/2,1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi_{1/2,-1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 In 1928, Dirac Equation; During 1946-1949, QED by Tomonaga-Schwinger-Feynman; Lorentz(Poincare) group

AM generator in Lorentz group

Spin correlation in heavy flavor physics



Outline

- Spin correlation in beauty-charm meson family decays
- Spin correlation in fully charmed tetraquark states decays
- Summary and Outlook

Beauty-charm meson family spectrum



nonrelativistic potential model + Coupled channel analysis Hao-Zhu, 2402.18898

Detect Bc* meson by EM decays

Bc* (1S) major (99.99%) electromagnetic decays to Bc(1S):
M1 transition





$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\gamma p NRQCD} &= \int d^{3}r \operatorname{Tr} \left[e^{\frac{e_{Q} - e'_{Q}}{2}} V_{A}^{em} \mathrm{S}^{\dagger} \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{em} \mathrm{S} \right. \\ &+ e\left(\frac{e_{Q} m'_{Q} - e'_{Q} m_{Q}}{4m_{Q} m'_{Q}} \right) \left[V_{S}^{\frac{\sigma \cdot B}{m}} \left\{ \right. \mathrm{S}^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{em} \right\} \mathrm{S} \\ &+ \frac{1}{8} V_{S}^{(r \cdot \nabla)^{2} \frac{\sigma \cdot B}{m}} \left\{ \right. \mathrm{S}^{\dagger}, \mathbf{r}^{i} \mathbf{r}^{j} \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{i} \nabla^{j} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{em} \right) \right\} \mathrm{S} \\ &+ V_{O}^{\frac{\sigma \cdot B}{m}} \left\{ \mathrm{O}^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{em} \right\} \mathrm{O} \right] \\ &+ e\left(\frac{e_{Q} m_{Q'}^{2} - e'_{Q} m_{Q}^{2}}{32m_{Q}^{2} m_{Q'}^{2}} \right) \left[4 \frac{V_{S}^{\frac{\sigma \cdot B}{m^{2}}}}{r} \left\{ \right. \mathrm{S}^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{em} \right\} \mathrm{S} \\ &+ 4 \frac{V_{S}^{\frac{\sigma \cdot (r \times r \times B)}{m^{2}}}}{r} \left\{ \right. \mathrm{S}^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \left[\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{B}^{em} \right] \right\} \mathrm{S} \\ &- V_{S}^{\frac{\sigma \cdot \nabla r \times r \times r \times B}{m^{2}}} \left[\right. \mathrm{S}^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \left[-i \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times, \mathbf{E}^{em} \right] \right] \mathrm{S} \\ &- V_{S}^{\frac{\sigma \cdot \nabla r \times r \cdot \nabla \cdot \nabla F}{m^{2}}} \left[\right] \mathrm{S}^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \left[-i \boldsymbol{\nabla} r \times, \mathbf{r}^{i} \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{i} \mathbf{E}^{em} \right) \right] \mathrm{S} \right] \\ &+ e\left(\frac{e_{Q} m_{Q'}^{3} - e'_{Q} m_{Q}^{3}}{8m_{Q}^{3} m_{Q'}^{3}} \right) \left[V_{S}^{\frac{\nabla^{2} \sigma \cdot B}{m^{3}}} \left\{ \right. \mathrm{S}^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{em} \right\} \nabla_{r}^{2} \mathrm{S} \\ &+ V_{S}^{\frac{(\nabla r \cdot \sigma) (\nabla r \cdot B)}{m^{3}}} \left\{ \right. \mathrm{S}^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{i} \mathbf{B}^{emj} \left\{ \right. \mathrm{S}^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{i} \mathrm{S}^{j} \mathrm{S} \right\} \right], \end{split}$$

Detect Bc* meson by weak decays

Bc* decay constants in QCD

$$\left\langle 0\left|\bar{b}\gamma^{\mu}c\right|B_{c}^{*}(P,\varepsilon)\right\rangle = f_{B_{c}^{*}}^{\nu}m_{B_{c}^{*}}\varepsilon^{\mu},$$



Bc* decay constants in NRQCD

 $f_{B_c^*}^{\nu} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{m_{B_c^*}}} \underbrace{C_{\nu}(m_b, m_c, \mu_f)}_{\mathcal{M}_c, \mu_f} \underbrace{\left\langle 0 \left| \chi_b^{\dagger} \sigma \cdot \varepsilon \psi_c \right| B_c^*(\mathbf{P}) \right\rangle(\mu_f) + O(\nu^2) \right\rangle}_{\mathcal{M}_f}$

> Matching Formulae

Braaten-Fleming,PRD52,181(1995); Lee-Sang-Kim,JHEP01,113(2011)

$$Z_J Z_{2,b}^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_{2,c}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma_J = C_J \tilde{Z}_J^{-1} \tilde{Z}_{2,b}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{Z}_{2,c}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\Gamma}_J$$

 \tilde{Z}_{I} :NRQCD $\overline{\text{MS}}$ current renormalization constants

Vector Bc* meson decay constant



Leptonic decay branching ratios

Branching ratios	$N^{3}LO$				
$\mathcal{B}(B_c^{*+} \to e^+ \nu_e)$	$(3.85^{+0.29-0.07-1.35}_{-0.46+0.03+0.37}) \times 10^{-6}$				
$\mathcal{B}(B_c^{*+} \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$	$(3.85^{+0.29-0.07-1.35}_{-0.46+0.03+0.37}) \times 10^{-6}$				
$\mathcal{B}(B_c^{*+} \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau)$	$(3.40^{+0.25-0.06-1.19}_{-0.41+0.03+0.33}) \times 10^{-6}$				
$\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to e^+ \nu_e)$	$(1.91^{+0.15-0.19-0.70}_{-0.23+0.12+0.22}) \times 10^{-9}$				
$\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$	$(8.18^{+0.63-0.83-2.99}_{-1.00+0.52+0.94}) \times 10^{-5}$				
$\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau)$	$(1.96^{+0.15-0.20-0.72}_{-0.24+0.12+0.23}) \times 10^{-2}$				
$\Gamma(B_c^*(\lambda = \pm 1) \to \ell \nu_\ell) = \frac{ V_{cb} ^2}{12\pi} G_F^2 f_{B_c^*}^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_\ell^2}{m_{B_c^*}^2}\right)^2$					
$\Gamma(B_c^*(\lambda=0) \to \ell \nu_\ell)$	$= \frac{m_{\ell}^2 \Gamma(B_c^{*+}(\lambda = \pm 1) \to \ell \nu_{\ell})}{2m_{B_c^{*}}^2},$				

10



LHCb, arXiv:1204.0079

LHCb, arXiv:2111.03001 Around 10⁵ Bc to Jpsi+X events

> Helicity decomposition of weak decay width

$$\frac{d\Gamma(B_c^{(*)} \to J/\psi + nh)}{dq^2} = \sum_{\lambda_i} \frac{|V_{cb}|^2 G_F^2 a_1^2 |\mathbf{p}'|}{32\pi M^2} \Gamma_{J_1 \lambda_1 J_2 \lambda_2 \lambda_{nh}},$$

$$\Gamma_{11110} = 2 \left[V_1^2 \left(\left(M - M' \right)^2 - q^2 \right) \left(\left(M' + M \right)^2 - q^2 \right) \right. \\ \left. + \left(A_1 \left(M^2 - M'^2 \right) + A_2 q^2 \right)^2 \right] \rho_T^{nh}(q^2),$$



Results of invariant mass distribution



LHCb, arXiv:2111.03001

13

Polarization Asymmetry(A general law in V(P) to V transitions)



14

Bc decays along with 2 pions



LHCb, arXiv: 2402.05523

2404.06221, 2310.03425

Helicity amplitudes and angular distributions

> weak decay amplitude $h_{\lambda} \equiv \langle \rho(p_1, \lambda) J / \psi(p_2, \lambda) | \mathcal{H}_{eff} | B_c(p) \rangle$ I/ψ $=\epsilon_{1\mu}(\lambda)^*\epsilon_{2\nu}(\lambda)^*\left(ag^{\mu\nu}+\frac{bp^{\mu}p^{\nu}}{m_1m_2}+\frac{ic\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_{1\alpha}p_{\beta}}{m_1m_2}\right),$ B_c^{\pm} θ_1 > Angular distribution $\frac{d^3\Gamma\left(B_c \to J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-) + \rho(\pi\pi)\right)}{d\cos\theta_1 d\cos\theta_2 d\phi} =$ $\frac{9p_m}{128\pi^2 M^2} \left\{ \cos^2\theta_1 \sin^2\theta_2 H_{00} + \frac{1}{4} \sin^2\theta_1 \left(1 + \cos^2\theta_2 \right) \left(H_{11} + H_{-1-1} \right) \right.$ $-\frac{1}{2}\sin^{2}\theta_{1}\sin^{2}\theta_{2}\left[\cos 2\phi \operatorname{Re}(H_{1-1})-\sin 2\phi \operatorname{Im}(H_{1-1})\right]$ $\theta_1(rad)$ $-\frac{1}{4}\sin 2\theta_{1}\sin 2\theta_{2}\left[\cos\phi \operatorname{Re}\left(H_{10}+H_{-10}\right)-\sin\phi \operatorname{Im}\left(H_{10}-H_{-10}\right)\right]\right\},\$

$$f_L = \frac{|h_0|^2}{|h_{+1}|^2 + |h_{-1}|^2 + |h_0|^2} \qquad \alpha_{LT} = 1 - 2f_L \qquad f_L(J/\psi) = f_L(\rho) \simeq 0.877,$$

Quantum spin entanglement

> Quantum spin entanglement state

$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{|H|^2}} \left[h_{+1} |J/\psi(+1)\rho(+1)\rangle \right. \\ & \left. + h_0 |J/\psi(0)\rho(0)\rangle + h_{-1} |J/\psi(-1)\rho(-1)\rangle \right], \end{split}$$

> Von Neumann entropy

$$\varepsilon = -Tr[\varrho_A \ln \varrho_A] = -Tr[\varrho_B \ln \varrho_B].$$

$$\varrho_{J/\psi} = \varrho_{\rho} = \frac{1}{|H|^2} \begin{pmatrix} h_{+1}h_{+1}^* & 0 & 0\\ 0 & h_0h_0^* & 0\\ 0 & 0 & h_{-1}h_{-1}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\varepsilon = 0.405.$$

Test of Bell inequality

$$\blacktriangleright \text{Bell inequality} |s\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle).$$

$$P(\vec{a}, \vec{b}) = \int d\lambda \rho(\lambda) A(a, \lambda) B(b, \lambda).$$

$$|P(\vec{a}, \vec{b}) - P(\vec{a}, \vec{c})| \leq \int d\lambda \rho(\lambda) (1 - A(b, \lambda) A(c, \lambda)) \epsilon^{-1}$$

$$= \int d\lambda \rho(\lambda) - \int d\lambda \rho(\lambda) A(b, \lambda) A(c, \lambda) = 1 + P(\vec{b}, \vec{c}).$$

Collins-Gisin-Linden-Massar-Popescu qutrits inequality $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 = 0, \dots, d - 1$.

$$I_3 = P(A_1 = B_1) + P(B_1 = A_2 + 1) + P(A_2 = B_2) + P(B_2 = A_1) - P(A_1 = B_1 - 1) - P(B_1 = A_2) - P(A_2 = B_2 - 1) - P(B_2 = A_1 - 1) \le 2.$$

 $I_3 = tr(\rho B) = tr(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|B) = \langle\psi|B|\psi\rangle.$

$$I_3 = |-2.91| = 2.91 > 2$$

CGLMP inequality breaks down.

Charm family



Latest data on fully charmed tetraquarks

Exp.	Fit	$M_{\rm BW_1}$	$\Gamma_{\rm BW_1}$	$M_{\rm X(6900)}$	$\Gamma_{\rm X(6900)}$	$M_{\rm BW_3}$	$\Gamma_{\rm BW_3}$
LHCb	No interf.	—	—	$6905 \pm 11 \pm 7$	$80\pm19\pm33$	—	_
\mathbf{CMS}	No interf.	$6552 \pm 10 \pm 12$	$124^{+32}_{-26} \pm 33$	$6927 \pm 9 \pm 4$	$122^{+24}_{-21} \pm 184$	$7287^{+20}_{-18} \pm 5$	$95^{+59}_{-40}\pm19$
LHCb	Interf.	6741 ± 6	288 ± 16	$6886 \pm 11 \pm 11$	$168\pm33\pm69$	—	_
\mathbf{CMS}	Interf.	6638^{+43+16}_{-38-31}	$440^{+230+110}_{-200-240}$	6847^{+44+48}_{-28-20}	191^{+66+25}_{-49-17}	7134_{-25-15}^{+48+41}	$97\substack{+40+29\\-29-26}$
ATLAS	Fit-A	$6630 \pm 50^{+80}_{-10}$	$350 \pm 110^{+110}_{-40}$	$6860 \pm 30^{+10}_{-20}$	$110 \pm 50^{+20}_{-10}$	$7220 \pm 30^{+10}_{-40}$	$90 \pm 60^{+60}_{-50}$
ATLAS	Fit-B	$6650 \pm 20^{+30}_{-20}$	$440 \pm 50^{+60}_{-50}$	$6910\pm10\pm10$	$150\pm30\pm10$	_	_



How to explain these exotic states?





Diquark-antidiquark



Charmonia Molecule



Gluonic Tetracharm Hybrid

diquark-antidiquark model?



Abstract

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com ScienceDirect



Editors' Suggestion

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 132, 111901 (2024)

Nuclear Physics B 966 (2021) 115393

Fully-heavy tetraquark spectra and production at hadron

colliders

Ruilin Zhu*

Department of Physics and Institute of Theoretical Physics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210023, China

Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA Received 27 October 2020; received in revised form 21 March 2021; accepted 1 April 2021

> Available online 7 April 2021 Editor: Hong-Jian He

Motivated by the observation of exotic structure around 6900 MeV in the J/ψ -pair mass spectrum using proton-proton collision data by the LHCb collaboration, we study the spectra of fully-heavy tetraquarks within Bethe-Salpeter equation and Regge trajectory relation. The X (6900) may be explained as a radially excited state with quark content $cc\bar{c}\bar{c}$ and spin-parity 0⁺⁺(3S) or 2⁺⁺(3S) or an orbitally excited 2P state. New $cc\bar{c}\bar{c}$ structures around 6.0 GeV, 6.5 GeV, and 7.1 GeV are predicted together. Other $bb\bar{b}$ and $bc\bar{b}\bar{c}$

structures which may be experimentally prominent are discussed. On the other hand, the fully-heavy S-wave

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license

tetraquark production at hadron colliders is investigated and their cross sections are obtained.

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP3.

www.elsevier.com/locate/nuclphysb

New Structures in the $J/\psi J/\psi$ Mass Spectrum in Proton-Proton Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

A. Hayrapetyan *et al.*^{*} (CMS Collaboration)

Our measured masses appear compatible with recent calculations of the $cc\bar{c} \bar{c}$ spectrum [21,71], which would indicate that these three structures may be a family of radial excitations of the same J^{PC} . This is the case for both no-interference and interference masses, albeit for different theoretical models.

[21] R. Zhu, Fully-heavy tetraquark spectra and production at hadron colliders, Nucl. Phys. **B966**, 115393 (2021).

These masses are predicted correctly and confirmed by CMS. Next, are their spin-parities correct?

spin-correlated amplitudes will tell the truth



polar angular distribution



Model I: quark model (QM); Model II: heavy quark effective theory(HQET)

plane angular distribution



fully charmed tetraquark decays to charmed meson pair



The θ_1 and Φ distributions for various tetraquarks near 6.9 GeV into $D^*(\to D\pi)$ and $\bar{D}^*(\to \bar{D}\pi)$ using Model II (HQET).

- ✓ Spin-correlation effects are involved in heavy flavor physics
- Polarization analysis are helpful to detect the vector beauty-charm meson and to determine the spinparity of fully charmed tetraquarks

Outlook: Spin-correlation effects leads to quantum entanglement; What can quantum entanglement tell us in QCD/QFT/SM?

Thank you a lot!

Backup

Matching coefficients up to three loops

For vector current

$$\mathcal{C} = 1 - 2.29 \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_l)}}{\pi}\right) - 35.44 \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_l)}}{\pi}\right)^2 - 1686.27 \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_l)}}{\pi}\right)^3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4),$$
for $n_l = 3, n_c = 1, n_b = 0,$

Sang-Zhang-Zhou, arXiv:2210.02979

➢ for pseudoscalar current

$$\mathcal{C}(x_{\rm phys}) = 1 - 1.62623 \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(m_r)}{\pi}\right) - 6.51043 \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(m_r)}{\pi}\right)^2 - 1520.59 \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(m_r)}{\pi}\right)^3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$$

Feng-Jia-Mo-Pan-Sang-Zhang, arXiv:2208.04302

for axial-vector and scalar currents

Matching coefficients for axial-vector and scalar up to three loops



Nonconvergence behaviors also in other two currents

Multi-loop integral calculation performed by AMFlow (Ma et al)

Sub-leading Contribution

Relativistic corrections

$$\begin{split} &\langle 0 | \overline{Q_1} \gamma^5 Q_2 | Q_2 \overline{Q_1} \rangle_{\text{QCD}} \\ &= \sqrt{2M_H} \left[C_0^P \left\langle 0 \left| \chi_1^{\dagger} \psi_2 \right| Q_2 \overline{Q_1}(\mathbf{p}) \right\rangle_{\text{NRQCD}} + C_2^P \left\langle 0 \left| (\mathbf{D}_{\chi_1})^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{D} \psi_2 \right| Q_2 \overline{Q_1}(\mathbf{p}) \right\rangle_{\text{NRQCD}} + \cdots \right] \\ &\text{Employing EOM:} \qquad \left\langle 0 \left| (\mathbf{D}_{\chi_1})^{\dagger} \mathbf{D} \psi_2 \right| Q_2 \overline{Q_1}(\mathbf{p}) \right\rangle = -2m_r E \left\langle 0 \left| \chi_1^{\dagger} \psi_2 \right| Q_2 \overline{Q_1}(\mathbf{p}) \right\rangle. \\ & f_{B_c^*} = 2 \sqrt{\frac{N_c}{m_{B_c^*}}} \left[\mathcal{C}_v + \frac{d_v E_{B_c^*}}{12} \left(\frac{8}{M} - \frac{3}{m_r} \right) \right] |\Psi_{B_c^*}(0)|, \\ & f_{B_c} = 2 \sqrt{\frac{N_c}{m_{B_c}}} \left[\mathcal{C}_p - \frac{d_p E_{B_c}}{4m_r} \right] |\Psi_{B_c}(0)|, \end{split}$$

Wave function scale dependence

➤ Wave function at origin

For Power-law potential
$$V(r) = Ar^a + C$$

Exact solution

$$|\psi_{\mu}^{n}(0)|^{2} = f(n,a)(A\mu)^{3/(2+a)}$$

Scale relation

$$|\Psi_{B_c^*}(0)| = |\Psi_{J/\psi}(0)|^{1-y} |\Psi_{\Upsilon}(0)|^y,$$

 $y = y_c = \ln((1 + m_c/m_b)/2)/\ln(m_c/m_b)$

Collins-Imbo-King-Martell, PLB 393 (1997) 155–160

$$|\psi_1(0)|^2 = |\psi_1^{(0)}(0)|^2 \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^n f_k a_s^k\right). \qquad \left|\psi_1^{(0)}(0)\right|^2 = \frac{(m_b C_F \alpha_s)^3}{8\pi}.$$
$$E_1^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{4} m_b (C_F \alpha_s)^2,$$

Beneke et al., PRL. 112, 151801 (2014)

fully charmed tetraquark decay widths

Exp.	Fit method	$M_{\rm BW_1}$	$\Gamma_{\rm BW_1}$	$M_{\rm BW_2}$	Γ_{BW_2}	$M_{\rm BW_3}$	Γ_{BW_3}
LHCb [3]	No interf.	-	-	$6905 \pm 11 \pm 7$	$80\pm19\pm33$	-	-
LHCb [3]	Interf.	6741 ± 6	288 ± 16	$6886 \pm 11 \pm 11$	$168\pm33\pm69$	-	-
ATLAS [4]	Fit-A	$6630 \pm 50^{+80}_{-10}$	$350 \pm 110^{+110}_{-40}$	$6860 \pm 30^{+10}_{-20}$	$110 \pm 50^{+20}_{-10}$	$7220 \pm 30^{+10}_{-40}$	$90 \pm 60^{+60}_{-50}$
ATLAS [4]	Fit-B	$6650 \pm 20^{+30}_{-20}$	$440 \pm 50^{+60}_{-50}$	$6910 \pm 10 \pm 10$	$150\pm30\pm10$	-	-
CMS [5]	No interf.	$6552 \pm 10 \pm 12$	$124^{+32}_{-26} \pm 33$	$6927 \pm 9 \pm 4$	$122^{+24}_{-21} \pm 184$	$7287^{+20}_{-18} \pm 5$	$95^{+59}_{-40} \pm 19$
CMS [5]	Interf.	6638^{+43+16}_{-38-31}	$440^{+230}_{-200-240}$	6847^{+44}_{-28-20}	191_{-49-17}^{+66+25}	7134_{-25-15}^{+48+41}	97^{+40+29}_{-29-26}
Theo.	$n,^{2S+1}L_J, J^{PC}$	M_T	Γ_T	M_T	Γ_T	M_T	Γ_T
	$1, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}$	6455	20.5	-	-	-	-
QM	$1, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}$	6550	7.5	-	-	-	-
	$1, {}^{5}S_{2}, 2^{++}$	6524	31.0	-	-	-	-
	$2, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}$	-	-	6908	38.7	-	-
	$2, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}$	-	-	6957	83.3	-	-
	$2, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}$	-	-	-	-	7018	34.6
	$2, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}$	-	-	-	-	7185	36.9
	$2, {}^{5}S_{2}, 2^{++}$	-	-	6927	27.1	-	-
	$2, {}^{5}S_{2}, 2^{++}$	-	-	-	-	7032	670.9
	$2, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}$	6555^{+36}_{-37}	15.5	-	-	-	-
	$3, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}$	-	-	6883^{+27}_{-27}	16.2	-	-
HQET	$4, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}$	-	-	-	-	7154^{+22}_{-22}	15.9
	$2, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}$	6468^{+35}_{-35}	23.6	-	-	-	-
	$3, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}$	-	-	6795^{+26}_{-26}	21.3	-	-
	$4, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}$	-	-	-	-	7066^{+21}_{-22}	27.1
	$2, {}^{5}S_{2}, 2^{++}$	6566^{+34}_{-35}	39.4	-	-	-	-
	$3, {}^{5}S_{2}, 2^{++}$	-	-	6890^{+27}_{-26}	36.0	-	-
	$4, {}^5S_2, 2^{++}$	-	-	- 20	-	7160^{+21}_{-22}	29.0

fully charmed tetraquark major decay modes

1.5

1.5

Theo.	$n^{2S+1} L_J, J^{PC}, M_T$	$J/\psi J/\psi$	$H_c H_c'$	$D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$	$D_{s}^{(*)}\bar{D}_{s}^{(*)}$	gg	$\gamma\gamma(imes 10^{-3})$
QPM^a	$1, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}, 6455$	0.7	1.45	$9.6(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$6.9(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$1.9(\frac{f_0'^1}{100})^2$	$1.3(\frac{f_0'^1}{100})^2$
	$1, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}, 6550$	1.78	0.12	$3.0(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$2.1(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$0.5(\frac{f_0^1}{100})^2$	$0.3(\frac{f_0^1}{100})^2$
	$1, {}^{5}S_{2}, 2^{++}, 6524$	-	-	$15(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$14.2(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$1.8(\frac{f_2^2}{100})^2$	$1.3(\frac{f_2^2}{100})^2$
	$2^{1}_{,1}S_{0}, 0^{++}_{,0}, 6908$	0.12	23.75	$7.6(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$5.5(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$1.7(\frac{f_0'^2}{100})^2$	$1.3(\frac{f_0'^2}{100})^2$
	$2^{1}_{,1}S_{0}, 0^{++}_{,0}, 6957$	4.66	74.03	$2.4(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$1.8(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$0.4(\frac{f_0^2}{100})^2$	$0.3(\frac{f_0^2}{100})^2$
	$2, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}, 7018$	1.87	18.01	$7.8(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$5.2(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$1.7(\frac{f_0'^2}{100})^2$	$1.2(\frac{f_0'^2}{100})^2$
	$2, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}, 7185$	0.48	32.25	$2.2(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$1.6(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$0.4(\frac{f_0^2}{100})^2$	$0.3(\frac{f_0^2}{100})^2$
	$2, {}^{5}S_{2}, 2^{++}, 6927$	0.36	1.45	$12(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$11.6(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$1.7(\frac{f_2^2}{100})^2$	$1.3(\frac{f_2^2}{100})^2$
	$2, {}^{5}S_{2}, 2^{++}, 7032$	7.12	640.06	$11(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$11(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$1.7(\frac{f_2^2}{100})^2$	$1.2(\frac{f_2^2}{100})^2$
	$1, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}, 6055$	-	-	$4.0(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$2.8(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$2.0(\frac{f_0'^1}{100})^2$	$1.4(\frac{f_0'^1}{100})^2$
	$1, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}, 5984$	-	-	$16.4(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$8.7(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$0.5(\frac{f_0^T}{100})^2$	$0.4(\frac{f_0^1}{100})^2$
	$1, {}^{5}S_{2}, 2^{++}, 6090$	-	-	$19.3(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$17.6(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$2.0(\frac{f_2^1}{100})^2$	$1.4(\frac{f_2^1}{100})^2$
HQET	$2^{1}_{,1}S_{0}, 0^{++}_{,6555}$	$2.6(\frac{\xi_{\psi}}{0.1})^2$	$6.0(\frac{\xi_{\eta_c}}{0.1})^2$	$3.0(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$2.1(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$1.8(\frac{f_0'^2}{100})^2$	$1.3(\frac{f_0'^2}{100})^2$
	$2, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}, 6468$	$5.5(\frac{\xi_{\psi}}{0.1})^2$	$1.2(\frac{\xi_{\eta_c}}{0.1})^2$	$9.6(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$6.8(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$0.5(\frac{f_0^2}{100})^2$	$0.3(\frac{f_0^2}{100})^2$
	$2, {}^{5}S_{2}, 2^{++}, 6566$	$8.5(\frac{\xi_{\psi}}{0.1})^2$	$0.01(rac{\xi_{\eta_c}}{0.1})^2$	$14.9(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$14(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$2.0(\frac{f_2^2}{100})^2$	$1.4(\frac{f_2^2}{100})^2$
	$3, {}^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}, 6883$	$3.6(\frac{\xi_{\psi}}{0.1})^2$	$5.7(\frac{\xi_{\eta_c}}{0.1})^2 + 0.8(\frac{\xi_{\chi_{c0}}}{0.1})^2$	$2.6(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$1.8(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$1.7(\frac{f_0'^3}{100})^2$	$1.3(\frac{f_0'^3}{100})^2$
	$3^{1}_{,1}S_{0}, 0^{++}_{,1}, 6795$	$6.3(\frac{\xi_{\psi}}{0.1})^2$	$0.7(\frac{\xi_{\eta_c}}{0.1})^2$	$8(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$5.9(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$0.4(\frac{f_0^3}{100})^2$	$0.3(\frac{f_0^3}{100})^2$
	$3, {}^{5}S_{2}, 2^{++}, 6890$	$9.6(\frac{\xi_{\psi}}{0.1})^2$	$0.03(rac{\xi_{\eta_c}}{0.1})^2$	$12.5(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$11.9(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$2.0(\frac{f_2^3}{100})^2$	$1.4(\frac{f_2^3}{100})^2$
	$4^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}, 7154$	$4.1(\frac{\xi_{\psi}}{0.1})^2$	$5.3(\frac{\xi_{\eta_c}}{0.1})^2 + 1.8(\frac{\xi_{\chi_{c0}}}{0.1})^2 + 0.4(\frac{\xi_{h_c}}{0.1})^2$	$2.2(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$0.8(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$1.7(\frac{f_0'^4}{100})^2$	$1.2(\frac{f_0'^4}{100})^2$
	$4^{1}S_{0}, 0^{++}, 7066$	$6.2(\frac{\xi_{\psi}}{0.1})^2$	$0.4(\frac{\xi_{\eta_c}}{0.1})^2 + 4(\frac{\xi_{\chi_{c0}}}{0.1})^2 + 4(\frac{\xi_{h_c}}{0.1})^2$	$7.0(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$5.1(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$0.4(\frac{f_0^4}{100})^2$	$0.3(\frac{f_0^4}{100})^2$
	$4, {}^{5}S_{2}, 2^{++}, 7160$	$9.8(\frac{\xi_{\psi}}{0.1})^2$	$0.04(\frac{\xi_{\eta_c}}{0.1})^2 + 1.4(\frac{\xi_{h_c}}{0.1})^2$	$10.9(\frac{\xi_D}{0.1})^2$	$10.3(\frac{\xi_{D_s}}{0.1})^2$	$2.0(\frac{f_2^4}{100})^2$	$1.4(\frac{f_2^4}{100})^2$

57

Concurrence

$$\max\left(0, LB_{2}\right) \leq \sqrt{2\left(1 - \left(\frac{h_{00}^{00} + 2h_{10}^{01} + 4h_{10}^{00} + 2h_{10}^{01}}{N}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{h_{10}^{10} + h_{11}^{11} + h_{1-1}^{1-1}}{N}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{h_{10}^{10} + h_{1-1}^{1-1} + h_{11}^{11}}{N}\right)^{2}\right) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

If assume quantum entanglement as a basic principle, then a constraint formula for helicity amplitudes.

That may make sense because we have no strict solution in QCD.