Shedding Light on Heavy-Quark Hadron Decays

Yu-Ming Wang

Nankai University

TDLI and INPAC Joint Theoretical Particle Physics Seminar December 30, 2021

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

The Standard Model of Particle Physics

• Elementary Particles:

Asymptotic Freedom:



• The Millennium Prize Problem: Yang-Mills existence and mass gap.

Prove that for any compact simple gauge group G, a non-trivial quantum Yang-Mills theory exists on \mathbb{R}^4 and has a mass gap $\Delta > 0$.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Why precision calculations?

- Understanding the general properties of power expansion in EFTs (HQET, SCET, NRQCD).
- Interesting to understand the strong interaction dynamics of heavy quark decays.
 - Factorization properties of the subleading-power amplitudes.
 - Renormalization and asymptotic properties of the higher-twist B-meson DAs.
 - Interplay of different QCD techniques.
- Precision determinations of the CKM matrix elements $|V_{ub}|$ and $|V_{cb}|$. Power corrections, QED corrections, BSM physics.
- Crucial to understand the CP violation in *B*-meson decays. Strong phase of $\mathscr{A}(B \to M_1 M_2) @ m_b$ scale in the leading power.
- Indispensable for understanding the flavour puzzles (continuously updated).
 - P'_5 and $R_{K^{(*)}}$ anomalies in $B \to K^{(*)} \ell^+ \ell^-$.
 - $R_{D^{(*)}}$ anomalies in $B \to D^{(*)} \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$.
 - Color suppressed hadronic *B*-meson decays.
 - ▶ Polarization fractions of penguin dominated $B_{(s)} \rightarrow VV$ decays.

Theory tools for precision flavor physics

New Physics:
$$\mathscr{L}_{NP}$$

 \downarrow
EW scale (m_W) : $\mathscr{L}_{SM} + \mathscr{L}_{D>4}$
 \downarrow
Heavy-quark scale (m_b) : $\mathscr{L}_{eff} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_i C_i Q_i + \mathscr{L}_{eff,D>6}$
 \downarrow
QCD scale (Λ_{QCD})

• Aim: $\langle f | Q_i | \bar{B} \rangle = ?$

- QCD factorization [Diagrammatic approach].
- SCET factorization [Operator formalism].
- TMD factorization.
- (Light-cone) QCD sum rules.
- Lattice QCD.

• Key concepts: Factorization, Resummation, Evolution.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Factorization in Classical Physics

• Galileo's Leaning Tower of Pisa Experiment:

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm eff} = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{h}^2 - m g h \, .$$

Symmetry of the effective Lagrangian: $h \rightarrow h + a$.

Dynamical interpretation: The force acting on the ball, F = mg, independent of *h*.

• Newton's Gravity Theory:

$$V_{\text{full}}(h) = -G \frac{Mm}{r} = -G \frac{Mm}{R+h}$$

Power expansion of the full potential energy:

$$V_{\text{eff}}(h) = C_1(R) m (h/R) + C_2(R) m (h/R)^2 + \dots$$

The general form of the effective potential can be written without knowing V_{full} .

Matching the full theory and the effective theory:

$$C_1(R) = -C_2(R) = \frac{GM}{R}$$
, $V_{\text{eff}}(h) = mgh - \frac{mg}{R}h^2 + \dots$

• Symmetry of the effective Lagrangian broken in the full theory.

$$g(r) = \frac{GM}{r^2}$$
, $r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}g(r) = \gamma_g g(r)$.

This differential equation is actually a renormalization group equation.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Factorization in Quantum Physics

• Wigner-Eckart theorem:

 $\langle \tau' j' m' | T_q^k | \tau j m \rangle = \langle j k j' | m q m' \rangle \langle \tau' j' | | T^k | | \tau j \rangle.$

Separation of geometry and dynamics.

• Generalized Wigner-Eckart theorem in Lie algebra. An example from SU(3): *u*, *v* and *W* are all 8s.

 $\langle u|W|v\rangle = \lambda_1 \operatorname{Tr}[\bar{u} W v] + \lambda_2 \operatorname{Tr}[\bar{u} v W].$

Notice that $8^3 = 512$ matrix elements expressed in terms of only two parameters.

• Factorization for strong interaction physics. An example from $B \rightarrow \gamma \ell v_{\ell}$:

$$F_{V,\mathrm{LP}}(n\cdot p) = \frac{Q_u m_B}{n \cdot p} \tilde{f}_B(\mu) C_{\perp}(n \cdot p, \mu) \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \, \frac{\phi_B^+(\omega, \mu)}{\omega} J_{\perp}(n \cdot p, \omega, \mu) \,.$$

- Separation of hard, hard-collinear and soft fluctuations.
- Key input: *B*-meson light-cone distribution amplitude $\phi_B^+(\omega, \mu)$.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

• The light-ray HQET matrix element [Grozin, Neubert, 1997]:

$$\langle 0|\bar{q}_{\beta}(z)[z,0]h_{\nu\alpha}(0)|\bar{B}(\nu)\rangle = -\frac{i\tilde{f}_{B}m_{B}}{4} \left[\frac{1+\psi}{2}\left\{2\,\tilde{\phi}_{B}^{+}(t,\mu) + \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{B}^{-}(t,\mu) - \tilde{\phi}_{B}^{+}(t,\mu)}{t}\,\not\xi\right\}\gamma_{5}\right]_{\alpha\beta}$$

Important EOM constraints in HQET [Kawamura, Kodaira, Qiao, Tanaka, 2001, 2003].

• Evolution equation at one loop [Lange, Neubert, 2003]:

$$\frac{d\phi_B^+(\omega,\mu)}{d\ln\mu} = -\left[\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\alpha_s)\ln\frac{\mu}{\omega} + \gamma_+(\alpha_s)\right]\phi_B^+(\omega,\mu) - \omega\int_0^\infty d\eta\,\Gamma_+(\omega,\eta,\alpha_s)\,\phi_B^+(\eta,\mu)\,.$$

This is an integro-differential equation!

• (Relatively) complicated solution [Lee, Neubert, 2005]:

$$\begin{split} \phi^+_B(\omega,\mu) &= e^{V-2\gamma_{Eg}} \frac{\Gamma(2-g)}{\Gamma(g)} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\eta}{\eta} \phi^+_B(\eta,\mu_0) \left(\frac{\max(\omega,\eta)}{\mu_0}\right)^g \\ &\times \frac{\min(\omega,\eta)}{\max(\omega,\eta)} {}_2F_1 \left(1-g,2-g,2,\frac{\min(\omega,\eta)}{\max(\omega,\eta)}\right), \\ g(\mu,\mu_0) &= \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} d\alpha \frac{\Gamma_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\alpha)}{\beta(\alpha)} \approx \frac{2C_F}{\beta_0} \ln \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}{\alpha_s(\mu)}. \end{split}$$

Making the QCD resummation for enhanced logarithms complicated!

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

• Better understanding from the RGE in coordinate space [Braun, Ivanov, Korchemsky, 2004]:

$$\frac{d\tilde{\phi}_B^+(t,\mu)}{d\ln\mu} = -\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\alpha_s) \left\{ \left[\ln(i\tilde{\mu}t) - \frac{1}{4} \right] \tilde{\phi}_B^+(t,\mu) + \int_0^1 du \, \frac{\bar{u}}{u} \left[\tilde{\phi}_B^+(t,\mu) - \tilde{\phi}_B^+(\bar{u}t,\mu) \right] \right\}.$$

- Absence of the local operator product expansion due to the non-analytical term!
- $\tilde{\phi}_B^+(t,\mu)$ only mixes into $\tilde{\phi}_B^+(\bar{u}t,\mu)$ with $0 \le \bar{u} \le 1$ under renormalization.

• Renormalization of $[\bar{q}_s(t\bar{n})\Gamma b_v(0)]$ does not commute with the short-distance expansion.

$$[(\bar{q}_{s}Y_{s})(t\bar{n})\vec{\eta}\,\Gamma(Y_{s}^{\dagger}b_{v})(0)]_{R}\neq\sum_{p=0}\frac{t^{p}}{p!}\left[\bar{q}_{s}(0)\,(n\cdot\overleftarrow{D})^{p}\,\vec{\eta}\,\Gamma b_{v}(0)\right]_{R}.$$

- Many other examples in QCD physics (e.g., Light-cone projection and renormalization)!
- Non-negative moments of the B-meson distribution amplitude ill defined.
- ▶ Non-trivial generalization of the QCD equations of motion beyond the tree level.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

• Fourier/Mellin transformation:

$$\varphi_B^+(\theta,\mu) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \left(\frac{\omega}{\mu}\right)^{-i\theta} \phi_B^+(\omega,\mu) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \phi_B^+(\omega,\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\omega}{\mu}\right)^{i\theta} \varphi_B^+(\theta,\mu).$$

• Solution to the RGE in Mellin space:

$$\varphi_B^+(\theta,\mu) = e^{V-2\gamma_{ES}} \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu_0}\right)^{i\theta} \frac{\Gamma(1-i\theta)}{\Gamma(1+i\theta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(1+i(\theta+ig))}{\Gamma(1-i(\theta+ig))} \varphi_B^+(\theta+ig,\mu_0).$$

Already very symmetric solution in Mellin space.

• Yet simper solution to the integral-differential equation exists?

⇔ Eigenfunctions of the Lange-Neubert kernel [Bell, Feldmann, YMW and Yip, 2013].

$$\begin{split} \varphi^+_B(\theta,\mu) &:= \frac{\Gamma(1-i\theta)}{\Gamma(1+i\theta)} \bar{\rho}^+_B(\theta,\mu) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(1-i\theta)}{\Gamma(1+i\theta)} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'} \rho^+_B(\omega',\mu) \left(\frac{\omega'}{\mu}\right)^{-i\theta}. \end{split}$$

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

• Linear differential equation [Bell, Feldmann, YMW and Yip, 2013]:

$$\frac{d\rho_B^+(\omega',\mu)}{d\ln\mu} = -\left[\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\alpha_s)\ln\frac{\mu}{\dot{\omega}'} + \gamma_+(\alpha_s)\right]\rho_B^+(\omega',\mu)\,.$$

Local evolution in the dual space!

• Integral transformation:

$$\begin{split} \phi^+_B(\omega,\mu) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\omega'}} J_1\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\omega'}}\right) \rho^+_B(\omega',\mu), \\ \rho^+_B(\omega',\mu) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\omega'}} J_1\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\omega'}}\right) \phi^+_B(\omega,\mu). \end{split}$$

Eigenfunction of the Lange-Neubert kernel at one-loop is the Bessel function!

• Interesting transformation from the coordinate space to the dual space:

$$\rho_B^+(\omega',\mu) = \int \frac{dt}{2\pi} \left(1 - \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\omega' t}\right] \right) \tilde{\phi}_B^+(t,\mu) \,.$$

 $\rho_B^+(\omega',\mu)$ cannot be constructed from the local OPE of $\tilde{\phi}_B^+(t,\mu)$.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

• Solution to the RGE in dual space [Bell, Feldmann, YMW, Yip, 2013]:

$$ho_B^+(\omega',\mu) = e^V \left(rac{\mu_0}{\omega'}
ight)^{-g}
ho_B^+(\omega',\mu_0).$$

Very compact expression in a full analytical form!

• Solution to the RGE in momentum space:

$$\phi_B^+(\omega,\mu) = e^V \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\omega'}} J_1\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\omega'}}\right) \left(\frac{\mu_0}{\hat{\omega}'}\right)^{-g} \rho_B^+(\omega',\mu_0) \,.$$

Still a beautiful expression!

• The logarithmic inverse moments of LCDA and spectral function:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \ln^n\left(\frac{\omega}{\mu}\right) \phi_B^+(\omega,\mu) \stackrel{n=0,1,2}{=} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'} \ln^n\left(\frac{\hat{\omega}'}{\mu}\right) \rho_B^+(\omega',\mu) \equiv L_n(\mu).$$

Non-trivial mixing of $L_n(\mu)$ in dual space under renormalization.

$$\frac{dL_n(\mu)}{d\ln\mu} = \Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\alpha_s) L_{n+1}(\mu) - \gamma_+(\alpha_s) L_n(\mu) - nL_{n-1}(\mu).$$

More complicated RGE for the logarithmic inverse moments in momentum space.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

• Collinear conformal symmetry for the Lange-Neubert kernel [Braun, Manashov, 2014]:

$$\left(\frac{d}{d\ln\mu}+\mathscr{H}_{\rm LN}\right)O_+(z,\mu)=0.$$

HLN is almost determined by the commutation relations completely [Knoedlseder, Offen, 2011]

$$[S_+, \mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{LN}}] = 0, \qquad [S_0, \mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{LN}}] = 1$$

The beautiful solution in terms of S_+ :

$$\mathscr{H}_{\rm LN} = \ln(i\,\mu\,S^+) - \psi(1) - \frac{5}{4}\,.$$

• Generators of the collinear conformal group:

$$S_+ = z^2 \partial_z + 2jz, \qquad S_0 = z \partial_z + j, \qquad S_- = -\partial_z.$$

Eigenfunctions of S_+ [Braun, Manashov, 2014]:

$$iS_+ Q_s(z) = sQ_s(z), \qquad Q_s(z) = -\frac{1}{z^2} e^{is/z}$$
$$\langle e^{-i\omega z} | Q_s(z) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega s}} J_1(2\sqrt{\omega s}).$$

Wide applications of the conformal symmetry in high energy physics!

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

• RG evolution of $\phi_B^+(\omega,\mu)$ at two loops [Braun, Ji, Manashov, 2019; Liu, Neubert, 2020]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\phi_B^+(\omega,\mu)}{d\ln\mu} &= \left[\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\alpha_s)\ln\frac{\omega}{\mu} - \gamma_{\eta}(\alpha_s)\right]\phi_B^+(\omega,\mu) + \Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\alpha_s)\int_0^{\infty} dx\Gamma(1,x)\phi_B^+(\omega/x,\mu) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 C_F \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{1-x}h(x)\phi_B^+(\omega/x,\mu)\,.\end{aligned}$$

The last missing element for the NLL predictions of exclusive B-meson decay observables!

- The two-loop eigenfunctions depend on the strong coupling α_s [Braun, Ji, Manashov, 2019].
- Applying the Laplace transformation of the LCDA [Galda, Neubert, 2020]

$$\tilde{\phi}^+_B(\eta,\mu) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \, \phi^+_B(\omega,\mu) \, \left(\frac{\omega}{\bar{\omega}}\right)^{-\eta} \, ,$$

 \Rightarrow the general solution to the two-loop RGE of $\phi_B^+(\omega,\mu)$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\phi}_{B}^{+}(\eta,\mu) &= \exp\left[S(\mu_{0},\mu) + a_{\gamma}(\mu_{0},\mu) + 2\gamma_{E}a_{\Gamma}(\mu_{0},\mu)\right] \left(\frac{\bar{\omega}}{\mu_{0}}\right)^{-a_{\Gamma}(\mu_{0},\mu)} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma(1+\eta+a_{\Gamma}(\mu_{0},\mu))\Gamma(1-\eta)}{\Gamma(1-\eta-a_{\Gamma}(\mu_{0},\mu))\Gamma(1+\eta)} \exp\left[\int_{\alpha_{s}(\mu_{0})}^{\alpha_{s}(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)}\mathscr{G}(\eta+a_{\Gamma}(\mu_{\alpha},\mu),\alpha)\right] \\ &\times \tilde{\phi}_{B}^{+}(\eta+a_{\Gamma}(\mu_{0},\mu),\mu_{0}). \end{split}$$

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Radiative leptonic B meson decays in QCD

Tree diagrams:



Kinematics:

$$p_B \equiv p + q = m_B v$$
, $p = \frac{n \cdot p}{2} \bar{n}$, $q = \frac{n \cdot q}{2} \bar{n} + \frac{\bar{n} \cdot q}{2} n$.

• Decay amplitude:

$$\mathscr{M}(B^- \to \gamma \ell \nu) = \frac{G_F V_{ub}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(i g_{em} \varepsilon_{\nu}^* \right) \left\{ T^{\nu \mu}(p,q) \overline{\ell} \gamma_{\mu} \left(1 - \gamma_5 \right) \nu + Q_{\ell} f_B \overline{\ell} \gamma^{\nu} \left(1 - \gamma_5 \right) \nu \right\}.$$

Hadronic tensor:

$$\begin{split} T_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}) &\equiv \int d^4x e^{i\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} \left\langle 0 | \mathrm{T}\{j_{\boldsymbol{\nu},em}(\boldsymbol{x}), \left[\bar{u}\gamma_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(1-\gamma_5)b \right](0) \} | B^-(\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{q}) \right\rangle, \\ &= \nu \cdot p \left[-i\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nu}\boldsymbol{\rho}\sigma} n^{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \nu^{\sigma} F_V(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}) + g_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nu}} \hat{F}_A(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}) \right] + \nu_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} p_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} F_1(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}) \\ &+ \nu_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} F_2(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}) + \nu \cdot p \nu_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \nu_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} F_3(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}) + \frac{p_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}{\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}} F_4(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}). \end{split}$$

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

General aspects of $B \rightarrow \gamma \ell \nu$

• Ward identity [Grinstein and Pirjol, 2000; Khodjamirian and Wyler, 2001]:

$$\begin{split} p_{\nu} T^{\nu\mu}(p,q) &= -(Q_b - Q_u) f_B p_B^{\mu}. \end{split}$$

$$\downarrow \\ \hat{F}_A(v \cdot p) &= -F_1(v \cdot p), \qquad F_3(v \cdot p) = -\frac{(Q_b - Q_u) f_B m_B}{(v \cdot p)^2}. \end{split}$$

Reduced parametrization:

$$T_{\nu\mu}(p,q) = -i\nu \cdot p \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} n^{\rho} \nu^{\sigma} F_{\nu}(n \cdot p) + \left[g_{\mu\nu} \nu \cdot p - \nu_{\nu} p_{\mu}\right] \hat{F}_{A}(n \cdot p)$$

$$- \underbrace{\frac{(Q_{b} - Q_{u})f_{B}m_{B}}{\nu \cdot p}}_{\text{contact term}} \nu_{\mu} \nu_{\nu}.$$

• Absorb the photon emission off the lepton [Beneke and Rohrwild, 2011]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} v \cdot p - v_{\nu} p_{\mu} \end{bmatrix} \hat{F}_{A}(n \cdot p) = -Q_{\ell} f_{B} g_{\mu\nu} + \begin{bmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} v \cdot p - v_{\nu} p_{\mu} \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} \hat{F}_{A}(n \cdot p) + \frac{Q_{\ell} f_{B}}{v \cdot p} \end{bmatrix}}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ + \frac{v_{\nu} p_{\mu}}{v \cdot p} Q_{\ell} f_{B}}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}_{F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p} \underbrace{ F_{A}(n \cdot p) \cdot p}$$

irrelevant after the contraction with ε_v^*

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Current status of $B \rightarrow \gamma \ell \, \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$

- Factorization properties at leading power [Korchemsky, Pirjol, Yan, 2000; Descotes-Genon, Sachrajda, 2002; Lunghi, Pirjol, Wyler, 2003; Bosch, Hill, Lange, Neubert, 2003].
- Leading power contributions at NLL and (partial)-subleading power corrections at tree level [Beneke, Rohrwild, 2011].
- Subleading power corrections from the dispersion technique:
 - Soft two-particle correction at tree level [Braun, Khodjamirian, 2013].
 - Soft two-particle correction at one loop [YMW, 2016].
 - ▶ Three-particle *B*-meson DA's contribution at tree level [YMW, 2016; Beneke et al, 2018].
 - Subleading effective current and twist-5 and 6 corrections at tree level. [Beneke et al, 2018].
- Subleading power corrections from the direct QCD approach:
 - Hadronic photon corrections at tree level up to the twist-4 accuracy [Khodjamirian, Stoll, Wyler, 1995; Ali, Braun, 1995; Eilam, Halperin, Mendel, 1995].
 - Hadronic photon corrections of twist-two at one loop and of higher-twist at tree level [Ball, Kou, 2003; YMW, Shen, 2018].
- Leading power contributions at NNLL and the updated NLP corrections:
 - ► Two-loop RG evolution of $\phi_B^+(\omega, \mu)$ derived in [Braun, Ji, Manashov, 2019].
 - Two-loop jet function obtained in [Liu, Neubert, 2020].
 - Further improvement on $B \rightarrow \gamma \ell \bar{v}_{\ell}$ will appear soon [Cui, Shen, Wang, YMW, Wei, 2021].
 - Interesting generalization to $B_u^- \to \ell' \bar{\ell}' \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$ in [Wang, YMW, Wei, 2021].

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Radiative leptonic B meson decays in QCD

• Schematic structure of the distinct mechanisms:



A: hard subgraph that includes both photon and W^* vertices

- B: real photon emission at large distances
- C: Feynman mechanism: soft quark spectator



• Operator definitions of different terms needed for an unambiguous classification.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Theory predictions for $B \rightarrow \gamma \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$

• Integrated decay rate $\Delta BR(E_{cut})$:

$$\Delta BR(E_{\rm cut}) = \tau_B \int_{E_{\rm cut}}^{m_B/2} dE_{\gamma} \frac{d\Gamma}{dE_{\gamma}} \left(B \to \gamma \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell} \right) \,.$$



- $\lambda_B(\mu_0)$ dependence of $\Delta BR(E_{cut})$ [YMW, Shen, 2018]: •
- Belle 2015 data: $\Delta BR(1 \, \text{GeV}) < 3.5 \times 10^{-6}.$
- Belle 2018 data [arXiv:1810.12976]: $\Delta BR(1 \, \text{GeV}) =$ $(1.4 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$.
- Expected statistical error for $\Delta BR(1 \,\text{GeV})$ with 50 ab⁻¹ of Belle-II data: $^{+0.18}_{-0.17} \times 10^{-6}$.
- The photon-energy cut not sufficiently large. Power corrections numerically important for $E_{\gamma} < 1.5 \,\text{GeV}$.

Double Radiative B_q -Meson Decays

• Leading-order contributions at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^0)$:

$$b \longrightarrow \gamma(p) \qquad b \longrightarrow \gamma(p) \qquad b \longrightarrow \gamma(p) \qquad b \longrightarrow \gamma(p) \qquad c \rightarrow \gamma(p) \qquad c \rightarrow \gamma(p) \qquad c \rightarrow \gamma(q) \qquad c \rightarrow \gamma(q)$$

Kinematics:

$$p_{\mu} = \frac{n \cdot p}{2} \, \bar{n}_{\mu} \equiv \frac{m_{B_q}}{2} \, \bar{n}_{\mu} \,, \qquad q_{\mu} = \frac{\bar{n} \cdot q}{2} \, n_{\mu} \equiv \frac{m_{B_q}}{2} \, n_{\mu} \,.$$

Interplay of the soft and collinear QCD dynamics!

Decay amplitude:

$$\bar{\mathscr{A}}(\bar{B}_q \to \gamma \gamma) = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \,\frac{\alpha_{\rm em}}{4\pi} \,\varepsilon_1^{*\alpha}(p) \,\varepsilon_2^{*\beta}(q) \sum_{p=u,c} V_{pb} V_{pq}^* \sum_{i=1}^8 C_i T_{i,\alpha\beta}^{(p)} \,.$$

Hadronic tensors:

$$\begin{split} T_{7,\alpha\beta} &= 2\,\overline{m}_b(\mathbf{v})\int d^4x\,e^{iq\cdot x}\,\langle 0|\mathrm{T}\left\{j^{\mathrm{em}}_{\beta}(x),\bar{q}_L(0)\sigma_{\mu\alpha}p^{\mu}b_R(0)\right\}|\bar{B}_q(p+q)\rangle \\ &+\left[p\leftrightarrow q,\alpha\leftrightarrow\beta\right],\\ T^{(p)}_{i,\alpha\beta} &= -(4\,\pi)^2\int d^4x\int d^4y\,e^{ip\cdot x}\,e^{iq\cdot y}\,\langle 0|\mathrm{T}\left\{j^{\mathrm{em}}_{\alpha}(x),j^{\mathrm{em}}_{\beta}(y),P^{(p)}_i(0)\right\}|\bar{B}_q(p+q)\rangle,\\ &(i=1,\ldots6,8). \end{split}$$

Main task: Construct the SCET factorization formulae beyond the leading power.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Current status of $B_q \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

- QCD factorization at leading power in Λ/m_b and at NLO in α_s [Descotes-Genon, Sachrajda, 2003].
 - No collinear strong interaction dynamics at LP.
 - The two-loop $b \rightarrow q \gamma$ matrix elements of QCD penguin operators NOT included. \Rightarrow A complete factorization-scale independence at NLO is absent!
- Subleading power contributions from the weak annihilation diagrams [Bosch, Buchalla, 2002].
 - Complex perturbative hard functions evaluated at one loop.
 - Diagrammatic factorization established at two loops.
- Previous model-dependent calculations introduce additional systematic uncertainties.
- The new (technical)-ingredients from [Shen, YMW, Wei, 2020]:
 - A complete NLL calculation for the LP contribution \Rightarrow 2-loop evolution of ϕ_B^+ .
 - The NLP factorization for the energetic photon radiation off the light quark. The so-called "soft form factor" defined in [Beneke, Rohrwild, 2011] is factorizable!
 - The NLP factorization for the light-quark mass effect.
 - The NLP factorization for the SCET current $J^{(A2)} \supset (\bar{\xi}_{\overline{hc}} W_{\overline{hc}}) \gamma_{\alpha}^{\perp} P_L \left(\frac{i \vec{p}_{\top}}{2m_h} \right) h_{\nu}.$
 - ▶ The NLP factorization for the subleading twist *B*-meson LCDAs.
 - The resolved photon contribution with the dispersion technique.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

The helicity amplitudes of $B_q \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

• Breakdown of the various QCD mechanisms [Shen, YMW, Wei, 2020]:



- NLL effects stabilize the factorizationscale dependence.
- Factorizable NLP effects around \$\mathcal{O}\$(30%).
- Destructive effects from the NLP soft corrections.
- Strong phase from the 2-loop matrix element of P^c₂ and the weak annihilation.

Phenomenological observables for $B_q \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

• Time-integrated branching fraction [Shen, YMW, Wei, 2020]:



The yielding theory predictions

$$\mathscr{BR}(B_d \to \gamma \gamma) = \left(1.44^{+1.35}_{-0.80}\right) \times 10^{-8}\,, \qquad \mathscr{BR}(B_s \to \gamma \gamma) = \left(3.17^{+1.96}_{-1.74}\right) \times 10^{-7}\,.$$

with the dominant uncertainties from λ_{B_q} , $\hat{\sigma}_{B_q}^{(1)}$, $\hat{\sigma}_{B_q}^{(2)}$ and the QCD renormalization scale ν . The ratio of the two branching ratios for $B_{d,s} \to \gamma\gamma$

$$\frac{\mathscr{BR}(B_s \to \gamma \gamma)}{\mathscr{BR}(B_d \to \gamma \gamma)} = 33.80 \left(\frac{\lambda_{B_d}}{\lambda_{B_s}}\right)^2 + \mathscr{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda}{m_b}, \alpha_s\right).$$

The λ_{B_q} -scaling violation effect due to the NLP contributions approximately (10-20)%.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

►

Phenomenological observables for $B_q \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

• Time-dependent CP asymmetries [Shen, YMW, Wei, 2020]:

$$A_{\rm CP}^{\chi}(t) = \frac{\bar{\Gamma}^{\chi}(\bar{B}_q(t) \to \gamma\gamma) - \Gamma^{\chi}(B_q(t) \to \gamma\gamma)}{\bar{\Gamma}^{\chi}(\bar{B}_q(t) \to \gamma\gamma) + \Gamma^{\chi}(B_q(t) \to \gamma\gamma)} = -\frac{\mathscr{A}_{\rm CP}^{\rm dir,\,\chi}\cos(\Delta m_q t) + \mathscr{A}_{\rm CP}^{\rm mix,\,\chi}\sin(\Delta m_q t)}{\cosh(\Delta \Gamma_q t/2) + \mathscr{A}_{\Delta \Gamma}^{\chi}\sinh(\Delta \Gamma_q t/2)} \,.$$



Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Radiative leptonic $B_q \rightarrow \gamma \ell \bar{\ell}$ decays

• Systematic calculations for the helicity form factors [Beneke, Bobeth and YMW, 2020]:

- SCET factorization for the LP contribution at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$.
- The NLP corrections from the real or virtual photon radiation off the *b*-quark at $\mathscr{O}(\alpha_s^0)$.
- The NLP corrections from the hard-collinear light-quark propagator at $\mathscr{O}(\alpha_s^0)$.
- The NLP corrections from the weak-annihilation diagrams at $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha_s^0)$.

• Three possible contractions of the four-quark operators:



- ▶ The left and middle diagrams are defined as the *A* and *B*-type insertions (also for *P*₇).
- Need the more general $B \rightarrow \gamma^{(*)}$ form factors [YMW, 2016].
- Factorization works for $q^2 \le 6 \text{ GeV}^2$ (excluding the narrow light-meson resonance region).
- Strong duality violation of $B_s \rightarrow \gamma \ell \bar{\ell}$ due to the narrow width of $\phi(1020)$.
- Previous investigations with different techniques/methods [Guadagnoli, Reboud and Zwicky, 2017; Albrecht, Stamou, Ziegler, Zwicky, 2019; Kozachuk, Melikhov, Nikitin, 2018; etc].

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Phenomenological observables for $B_q \rightarrow \gamma \ell \bar{\ell}$

• Theory predictions for $d\mathscr{B}/dq^2$ and $\mathscr{A}_{FB}(q^2)$ [Beneke, Bobeth and YMW, 2020].



- Adding successively in quadrature the uncertainties due to μ_{h,hc} [green], (λ_{Bq}, σ⁽¹⁾_{Bq}) [red], r_{LP} [blue].
- ► The largest uncertainty due to the LCDA parameters: about $^{+70}_{-30}$ % for $\mathscr{B}(B_s \rightarrow \gamma \ell \bar{\ell})$ in the q^2 -bin [2.0, 6.0]GeV².

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Heavy-to-light B-meson decay form factors

• QCD/SCET factorization formulae [BBNS, BPRS, and many others].

$$F_i^{B \to M}(E) = C_i^{(A0)}(E) \,\xi_a(E) + \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \,\int_0^1 dv \underbrace{T_i(E; \ln \omega, v)}_{C_i^{(B1)} * J_i} \phi_B^+(\omega) \phi_M(v) \,.$$

Need the hadronic matrix elements of both the LP and NLP SCET currents!

- Diagrammatic factorization for heavy-to-light form factors at one loop [Beneke, Feldmann, 2001].
- Perturbative calculations of the hard matching coefficients $C_i^{(A0)}(E)$:
 - One-loop SCET computations in [Bauer, Fleming, Pirjol, Stewart, 2001; Beneke, Kiyo, Yang, 2004].
 - Two-loop SCET computations in [Bonciani, Ferroglia, 2008; Asatrian, Greub, Pecjak, 2008; Beneke, Huber, Li, 2009; Bell, 2009; Bell, Beneke, Huber, Li, 2011].
- Perturbative calculations of the hard matching coefficients $C_i^{(B1)}$:
 - Infrared subtractions complicated by the appearance of evanescent operators and the D-dimensional Fierz transformation.
 - One-loop SCET computations in [Becher, Hill, 2004; Hill, Becher, Lee, Neubert, 2004; Beneke, Yang, 2006].

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Semileptonic $B \rightarrow V$ form factors in QCD

• A long-standing puzzle [Bell, Beneke, Huber, Li, 2011]:



Discrepancies between the SCET predictions (blue solid) and the LCSR results with light vector meson DAs (black dashed-dotted) [Ball, Zwicky, 2005].

• Subleading power correction in heavy quark expansion, systematic uncertainties?

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Semileptonic $B \rightarrow V$ form factors in QCD

• QCD factorization (yellow) versus SCET sum rules (red) [Gao, Lü, Shen, YMW, Wei, 2019]:



• The underlying mechanism responsible for the discrepancies:

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{R}_{1,\text{LCSR}} &= 1 + (-0.049) \big|_{C_i^{(A0)}} + (+0.054) \big|_{C_i^{(B1)}} + (-3.5 \times 10^{-5}) \big|_{\text{3PHT}} \,, \\ \mathscr{R}_{1,\text{QCDF}} &= 1 + (-0.023) \big|_{C_i^{(A0)}} + (+0.086) \left[1 + \mathscr{O}(\alpha_s) \right] \big|_{C_i^{(B1)}} \,. \end{split}$$

- (a) No perturbative expansion for the A0-type SCET_I form factor in QCDF.
- (b) Almost a factor of two smaller prediction of the B1-type SCET_I form factor in LCSR.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

QCD/SCET sum rules with heavy-hadron LCDA

- LO QCD calculations of B → M form factors [Khodjamirian, Offen, Mannel, 2006; Faller, Khodjamirian, Klein, Mannel, 2008; Gubernari, Kokulu, van Dyk, 2018].
- NLO QCD calculations of $B \rightarrow \pi$ form factors at (two-particle) twist-3 [De Fazio, Feldmann, Hurth, 2006, 2008; YMW, Shen, 2015].
- NLO QCD calculations of $B \rightarrow \pi$, *K* form factors at (two-particle) twist-3 and LO QCD calculations of higher-twsit corrections up to twist-6 [Lü, Shen, YMW, Wei, 2018].
- NLO QCD calculations of B → D form factors at (two-particle) twist-3 and LO QCD calculations of higher-twsit corrections up to twist-6 [YMW, Wei, Shen, Lü, 2017].
 - NLO twist-3 jet function complicated by two distinct hard-collinear variables.
 - Power-enhanced charm-quark mass effect.
 - ▶ Updated subleading power corrections at twist-6 [Gao, Huber, Ji, Wang, YMW, Wei, 2021].
- NLO QCD calculations of B → V form factors at (two-particle) twist-3 and LO QCD calculation of higher-twsit corrections up to twist-6 [Gao, Lü, Shen, YMW, Wei, 2019].
 - ► Rigorous perturbative matching with the evanescent-operator approach.
 - First SCET computation of the light-quark mass effect.
 - Three-particle higher-twist corrections compatible with the EOM constraints.
- NLO QCD calculations of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda$ form factors at twist-four accuracy [YMW, Shen, 2016].
 - Incomplete NLO calculation of the jet function [Feldmann, Yip, 2015].
 - Confirmed by the lattice QCD calculations [Detmold, Meinel, 2016].
 - SCET factorization at leading power in Λ/m_b [Wei Wang, 2011].

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Light-cone QCD sum rules with light-hadron LCDA

• Semileptonic $B \rightarrow P$ form factors:

- ▶ LO QCD calculations of $B \rightarrow P$ form factors [Belyaev, Khodjamirian, Rückl, 1993].
- NLO QCD calculations of B → P form factors at twist-2 accuracy [Khodjamirian, Rückl, Weinzierl, Yakovlev, 1997; Bagan, Ball, Braun, 1997].
- ▶ NLO QCD calculations of $B \rightarrow P$ form factors at twist-3 accuracy [Ball, Zwicky, 2005; Duplancic, Khodjamirian, Mannel, Melic, Offen, 2008].
- ▶ Improved NLO QCD calculations of $B \rightarrow P$ form factors at twist-3 accuracy [Khodjamirian, Mannel, Offen, YMW, 2011].
- (Partial) NNLO QCD calculations of $B \rightarrow P$ form factors at twist-2 accuracy [Bharucha, 2012].
- Twist-5 and -6 corrections of $B \rightarrow P$ form factors in the factorization limit [Rusov, 2017].
- Semileptonic $B \rightarrow V(\rightarrow P_1P_2)$ form factors:
 - ▶ LO QCD calculations of $B \rightarrow V$ form factors [Ali, Braun, Simma, 1994; Ball and Braun, 1997].
 - ▶ NLO QCD calculations of $B \rightarrow V$ form factors at twist-2 accuracy [Ball, Braun, 1998].
 - ▶ NLO QCD calculations of $B \rightarrow V$ form factors at twist-3 accuracy [Ball, Zwicky, 2005].
 - ▶ Updated analysis of $B \rightarrow V$ form factors including NLO QCD calculations at twist-3 and LO QCD calculations at (partial)-twist-5 [Bharucha, Straub, Zwicky, 2015].
 - ▶ LO QCD calculations of $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$ form factors [Hambrock, Khodjamirian, 2016; Cheng, Khodjamirian, Virto, 2017].
- Semileptonic Λ_b -baryon form factors:
 - ► LO QCD calculation of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda$ form factors [YMW, Li, Lü, 2008].
 - ▶ LO QCD calculation of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow p$ form factors [Khodjamirian, Mannel, Klein, YMW, 2011].

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

The charmless hadronic *B*-meson decays

• QCD/SCET factorization [BBNS, BPRS, Chay, Kim, and many others].

Heavy quark limit: $m_b \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ Large-energy limit: $E_M \approx m_b/2 \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ Scales: $m_b, \sqrt{m_b\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}, \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}, (M_{\text{EW}}, \Lambda_{\text{NP}})$



- Reduces $\langle M_1 M_2 | \mathcal{O} | B \rangle$ to simpler $\langle M | \mathcal{O} | B \rangle$ (form factors), $\langle 0 | \mathcal{O} | B \rangle$, $\langle M | \mathcal{O} | 0 \rangle$ (decay constants and distribution amplitudes).
- Calculation from first principles, but limited accuracy by Λ_{QCD}/m_b corrections.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Status of the NNLO QCD calculations

$$\langle M_1 M_2 | C_i O_i | \overline{B} \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}} = \sum_{\text{terms}} C(\mu_h) \times \left\{ F_{B \to M_1} \times \underbrace{T^{\text{I}}(\mu_h, \mu_s)}_{1 + \alpha_s + \dots} \star f_{M_2} \Phi_{M_2}(\mu_s) \right. \\ \left. + f_B \Phi_B(\mu_s) \star \left[\underbrace{T^{\text{II}}(\mu_h, \mu_I)}_{1 + \dots} \star \underbrace{J^{\text{II}}(\mu_I, \mu_s)}_{\alpha_s + \dots} \right] \star f_{M_1} \Phi_{M_1}(\mu_s) \star f_{M_2} \Phi_{M_2}(\mu_s) \right\}$$



- Strong cancellation of the NNLO corrections to the topological tree amplitudes between the vertex term and the spectator-scattering mechanism.
- Strong cancellation of the NNLO corrections to the QCD penguin amplitudes between the current-current operators Q^p_{1,2} and the penguin operators Q_{3-6.8g}.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Factorization of $B ightarrow \chi_{cJ} K$ [Beneke, Vernazza, 2008]



• The first field-theoretical treatment of rapidity divergences in the heavy-quark limit $m_c v^2 \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$.

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

PQCD factorization for hard exclusive reactions

- Pioneer works on hard exclusive reactions:
 - Hard scattering approach formulated in [Lepage, Brodsky, 1979, 1980].
 - The hadronic wave function in QCD [Brodsky, Lepage, Huang, 1980].
 - Sudakov effects in hadron-hadron elastic (Landshoff) scattering [Botts, Sterman, 1989].
 - Sudakov resummation for the pion electromagnetic form factor [Li, Sterman, 1992]. Key observation: Perturbative QCD formalism to hard exclusive processes applicable for √Q² ~ 20 Λ_{QCD}. Saving us from the strong doubts raised in [Isgur, Llewellyn Smith, 1988; Radyushkin, 1984].
 - More references can be found in the Bible by John Collins.

Important pieces of work accomplished in China:

- Applicability of PQCD factorization for the pion electromagnetic form factor [Huang, Shen, 1990].
- Sudakov suppression for hard exclusive reactions [PhD thesis by Jun Cao].
- Many more interesting papers to be discussed.

微扰量子色动力学应用到遍举 过程中的几个问题

曹 俊

指导教师姓名	:	黄 涛	吴慧芳
职称	:	研究员	研究员
单位	:	中国科学	院高能物理所

PQCD factorization for heavy hadron decays

- Birth of the modern PQCD approach for charmless hadronic *B*-meson decays:
 - Penguin enhancement for $B \rightarrow \pi K$ [Keum, Li, Sanda, 2001].
 - ► Tree-dominated processes $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$ [Lü, Ukai, Yang, 2001].
- Hundreds of papers on the tree-level PQCD calculations [Groups led by Li, Lü, Xiao, etc].
- PQCD calculations at NLO complicated by the appearance of multi-scales:
 - ▶ The pion-photon form factor at large momentum transfer [Nandi, Li, 2007].
 - The pion electromagnetic form factor at large Q^2 [Li, Shen, YMW, Zou, 2011].
 - ► $B \rightarrow \pi$ form factors at large recoil [Li, Shen, YMW, 2012].
 - Increasing complexities mainly due to the infrared subtractions!
- Intensive NLO PQCD calculations subsequently:
 - NLO twist-3 correction to the pion electromagnetic form factor [Cheng, Fan, Xiao, 2014].
 - ▶ NLO twist-3 correction to $B \rightarrow \pi$ form factors [Cheng, Fan, Yu, Lü, Xiao, 2014].
 - ▶ NLO correction to $B \rightarrow \rho$ form factors [Hua, Zhang, Xiao, 2018].
 - (Partial)-NLO correction to $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ [Cheng, Xiao, Zhang, 2014].
 - (Partial)-NLO correction to $B_s \rightarrow PP$ [Yan, Liu, Xiao, 2019].
 - (Partial)-NLO correction to $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi$ form factors [Liu, Li, Xiao, 2020].
 - Review on the NLO PQCD computations [Cheng, Xiao, 2020].
 - Many more papers on the NLO PQCD calculations.

Conceptual framework of PQCD factorization

- Formal developments of the PQCD approach:
 - Rapidity resummation for the TMD *B*-meson wavefunction in Mellin space [Li, Shen, YMW, 2013].
 - Joint resummation for the threshold and Sudakov logarithms for the pion-photon form factor [Li, Shen, YMW, 2014].
 - ► Factorization-compatible definitions of the TMD pion wavefunction [Li, YMW, 2015]
- Naïve definition of the TMD pion wavefunction:

• Rapidity divergence in the infrared subtraction:

$$\begin{split} \phi^{(1)}_{\pi} \otimes H^{(0)} &\supset \int [dl] \frac{1}{[(k+l)^2 + i0][l_+ + i0][l_+ + i0]} \\ &\times \left[H^{(0)}(x+l_+/p_+, \vec{k}_T + \vec{l}_T) - H^{(0)}(x, \vec{k}_T) \right] \,. \end{split}$$

- Rapidity divergence due to the eikonal propagator.
- Key difference: both the longitudinal and transverse components of the partonic momentum changed in TMD factorization!

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Light-cone singularity

- Regularization of the rapidity divergence [Collins, 2003].
 - ▶ Rotating the gauge links away from the light-cone $(u = (u_+, u_-, \vec{0}_T))$:

Introducing soft subtractions:

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\pi}(x,\vec{k}_{T},y_{u},\mu) &\stackrel{?}{=} \int \frac{dz_{-}}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^{2}z_{T}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{i(xp_{+}z_{-}-\vec{k}_{T}\cdot\vec{z}_{T})} \\ \times \frac{\langle 0|\bar{q}(0)W_{n_{-}}^{\dagger}(+\infty,0) \#_{-} \gamma_{5} [\text{tr. link}] W_{n_{-}}(+\infty,z) q(z)|\pi^{+}(p)\rangle}{\langle 0|W_{n_{-}}^{\dagger}(+\infty,0)W_{u}(+\infty,0) [\text{tr. link}] W_{n_{-}}(+\infty,z) W_{u}^{\dagger}(+\infty,z)|0\rangle} \end{split}$$

- Are these definitions compatible with the factorization theorems?
- Can employ multiple non-light-like Wilson lines at the price of introducing the soft function in the factorization formulae and using another parameter ρ beyond the scale parameters of CSS [Ji, Ma, Yuan, 2004].

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Pinch singularity

- Singularity from Wilson-line self energies [Bacchetta, Boer, Diehl, Mulders, 2008].
 - Pinch singularity only appears in a TMD parton density with u² < 0.</p>
 - Pinch singularity appears in the TMD wave functions for any off-light-cone u.

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\pi} &\supset \int [dl] \frac{u^2}{[l+i0)][u \cdot l+i0][u \cdot l-i0]} \\ &\times \delta(x' - x + l_+/p_+) \delta^{(2)}(\vec{k}'_T - \vec{k}_T + \vec{l}_T) \,. \end{split}$$



- Pinch singularity corresponds to the linear divergence in the length of the Wilson line in the coordinate space.
- Off-light-cone Wilson lines regularize rapidity divergence, at the price of introducing unwanted pinch singularity.
- How to achieve factorization-compatible definitions of TMD wavefunctions?

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Collins modification

• New definition without pinch singularity [Collins, 2011]:

rapidity of the gauge vector $n_2 = (e^{y_2}, e^{-y_2}, \vec{0}_T)$

Soft function:

$$S(z_T; y_A, y_B) = \frac{1}{N_c} \langle 0 | W_{n_B}^{\dagger}(\infty, \vec{z}_T)_{ca} W_{n_A}(\infty, \vec{z}_T)_{ad} W_{n_B}(\infty, 0)_{bc} W_{n_A}^{\dagger}(\infty, 0)_{db} | 0 \rangle.$$

• General properties of the new definition:

- The unsubtracted wave function only involves light-cone Wilson lines.
- Each soft factor has at most one off-light-cone Wilson line.
- No rapidity divergences and no pinch singularities.
- A detailed comparison with many other definitions [Collins, arXiv:1409.5408].

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Why the new definition works?





Simplified definitions of TMDs possible?

• Treatment of rapidity and pinch singularities:

Light-cone Wilson lines

↓ TMD hard function

Rapidity divergence

 \downarrow

Off-light-cone Wilson lines

↓ Dipolar structure

Pinch singularity

 \swarrow \searrow

Nontrivial soft subtraction

Non-dipolar Wilson lines

 \downarrow

Simper soft subtraction

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

TMDs with non-dipolar Wilson lines

• Orthogonal Wilson lines $(n_2 \cdot v = 0)$ [Li, Wang, 2015]:

$$\begin{split} \phi^{\mathrm{I}}_{\pi}(x,\vec{k}_{T},y_{2},\mu) &= \int \frac{dz_{-}}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^{2}z_{T}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{i(xp_{+}z_{-}-\vec{k}_{T}\cdot\vec{z}_{T})} \\ &\times \langle 0|\bar{q}(0)W^{\dagger}_{n_{2}}(+\infty,0)\not\!\!/_{-}\gamma_{5}\left[\mathrm{links}\,@\infty\right]W_{\nu}(+\infty,z)q(z)|\pi^{+}(p)\rangle \,. \\ &\uparrow &\uparrow \\ &n_{2} = (e^{y_{2}},e^{-y_{2}},\vec{0}_{T}) \,, \qquad \nu = (-e^{y_{2}},e^{-y_{2}},\vec{0}_{T}) \,. \end{split}$$

- Wilson-line self energies vanish in Feynman gauge.
 ⇒ Soft subtraction not needed.
- $\phi_{\pi}^{I} \otimes H^{(0)}$ reproduces the collinear logarithm of QCD diagrams:

$$\phi_{\pi}^{\rm I} \otimes H^{(0)} = -\frac{\alpha_s \, C_F}{4\pi} \, \left[2 \ln x + 3 \right] \ln \left(\frac{k_T^2}{Q^2} \right) \, H^{(0)}(x,k_T) + \cdots \, .$$

Antiparallel Wilson lines [Li, Wang, 2015]:

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\pi}^{\mathrm{II}}(x,\vec{k}_{T},y_{2},\mu) &= \int \frac{dz_{-}}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^{2}z_{T}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{i(xp_{+}z_{-}-\vec{k}_{T}\cdot\vec{z}_{T})} \\ &\times \frac{\langle 0|\bar{q}(0)W_{n_{2}}^{\dagger}(+\infty,0)\not\!\!\!/ -\gamma_{5}\left[\mathrm{links}\,@\infty\right]W_{n_{2}}\left(-\infty,z\right)q(z)|\pi^{+}(p)\rangle}{\left[\mathrm{color}\right]\langle 0|W_{n_{2}}^{\dagger}(+\infty,0)\left[\mathrm{links}\,@\infty\right]W_{n_{2}}\left(-\infty,0\right)|0\rangle} \end{split}$$

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)

Theoretical wishlist

- Systematic understanding of the (high-twist) *B*-meson distribution amplitudes.
 - Renormalization properties beyond the one-loop approximation [conformal symmetry].
 - Perturbative constraints at large ω_i [OPE technique].
 - Renormalon analysis and the renormalization-scheme dependence.
 - Precision determinations of the inverse moment λ_B .
- QCD factorization for the subleading power corrections.
 - SCET analysis for the pion-photon form factor as the first step [operator structures, symmetry constraints, etc].
 - General treatment of the rapidity divergences in the (naïve)-factorization formulae.
 - Rigorous factorization proof taking into account the Glauber gluons.
 - Novel resummation techniques for enhanced logarithms.
- Technical issues for future improvements.
 - Factorization techniques for the electromagnetic corrections.
 - ▶ NNLO QCD computations for $B \rightarrow V\gamma$ and $B \rightarrow V\ell\ell$.
 - QCD factorization for the radiative and electroweak penguin decays of the Λ_b -baryon.
 - Improved understanding of the parton-hadron duality violation.
- Very promising future for QCD aspects of heavy-quark physics!

Yu-Ming Wang (Nankai)